```
Patricia L. Glaser, State Bar No. 055668
    Alisa Morgenthaler Lever, State Bar No. 146940 CHRISTENSEN, MILLER, FINK, JACOBS,
     GLASER, WEIL & SHAPIRO, LLP
    10250 Constellation Boulevard, 19th Floor
    Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 553-3000
Facsimile: (310) 556-2920
5
    Raymond G. Fortner, County Counsel
6
    Leela A. Kapur, Senior Assistant County Counsel
    Richard K. Mason, Assistant County Counsel
7
    Sharon A. Reichman, Principal Deputy County Counsel
    648 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
    500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012
8
9
    Telephone: (213) 974-1825
    Facsimile: (213) 680-2165
10
    Attorneys for Defendants County of Los Angeles and
11
    Thomas L. Garthwaite, M.D.
12
                           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
                         CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14
                                                   Case No. CV 03-1580 FMC (PJWx)
    SUSAN RODDE, KENNETH
15
    YOUNGER, AND ANTONIO
    GAXIOLA, et al.,
                                                   SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
16
    Plaintiffs,
                                                   [Honorable Florence-Marie Cooper]
17
    v.
18
    DIANA BONTA, R.N., DR. P.H.,
19
    Director of the State Department of
    Health Services, an Individual in Her
20
    Official Capacity; COUNTY OF LOS
ANGELES, a public entity; THOMAS
L. GARTHWAITE, M.D., Director and
21
    Chief Medical Officer of Defendant
    County's Department of Health
    Services; an individual in his official
23
    capacity; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,
    individual Defendants are sued in their
24
    official capacities,
25
    Defendants.
26
27
28
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Susan Rodde, Kenneth Younger and Antonio Gaxiola brought this lawsuit entitled Susan Rodde, et al. v. Diana Bontá, et al. filed on or about March 6, 2003, Case No. 03-1580 FMC (PJWx) (the "Action") in the United States District Court for the Central District of California ("District Court"), seeking certification of a class and declaratory and injunctive relief against Diana Bontá, then the Director of California Department of Health Services ("State Defendant"), and against County of Los Angeles and Thomas L. Garthwaite, M.D., Director of Los Angeles County Department of Health Services (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the County Defendants"), and Does 1 through 10, inclusive; and

WHEREAS, the Action alleges claims against the State Defendant and County Defendants for violations of various provisions of the Medicaid Act, including 42 U.S.C. §§ 1396a(a)(4), 1396a(a)(8), 1396a(a)(10)(A), 1396a(a)(30)(A), 1396a(a)(43)(C), 1396d(a)(1) and (2)(a), Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131-12134, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794, California Government Code § 11135, California Civil Code § 51 et seq., and California Civil Code § 54 et seq.;

WHEREAS, the District Court has jurisdiction over the claims in this Action against the State Defendant and County Defendants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343 and 1367, and venue is proper in the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b);

WHEREAS, on April 29, 2003, the District Court certified the Action as a class action on behalf of a class consisting of "all present and future recipients of the Medicaid program: (a) who reside in the County of Los Angeles, (b) who have or will have disabilities, and (c) who, because of their disabilities, need or will need inpatient and/or outpatient rehabilitative and other medical services that are currently provided at Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center";

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

WHEREAS, on May 6, 2003, the District Court entered a preliminary injunction, which, inter alia, enjoined the County Defendants from "closing Rancho" Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center ("Rancho"), or terminating, reducing, or making any further reductions in any inpatient or outpatient medical services, including remedial services, at Rancho which are covered by the Medi-Cal program" until the County Defendants can assure the District Court that plaintiffs and members of the class: (i) "will continue to receive comparable inpatient or outpatient services from other Medi-Cal providers in Los Angeles County and that they will receive these inpatient or outpatient services in a timely manner and to the same extent as members of the general population" and/or (ii) "will continue to have the same access to inpatient and outpatient services at other health care facilities within the Los Angeles County health care system that they experienced at Rancho as of the filing of this lawsuit on March 6, 2003";

WHEREAS, on February 5, 2004, the United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, affirmed the above-mentioned preliminary injunction in a published opinion entitled *Rodde v. Bonta*, 357 F.3d 988 (9th Cir. 2004);

WHEREAS, the County Defendants deny all wrongdoing alleged in this Action and deny any liability whatsoever to Plaintiffs, and whereas the County Defendants assert that they have meritorious defenses which they have asserted in this Action, and assert that they have entered into this Agreement solely for the purpose of settling and compromising the claims of the Plaintiffs, to avoid the expense and diversion of its personnel caused by protracted litigation, and to terminate the claims asserted against the County Defendants; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel believe that the Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate to members of the Class in this Action in part because the parties' respective experts have estimated that it will take three years of diligent efforts by the County Defendants to pursue a buyer and/or operator to take over

Rancho and to identify and address all barriers to Rancho operating in the most efficient manner:

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants and undertakings set forth herein and intending to be legally bound thereby, it is stipulated and agreed by the Plaintiffs and the County Defendants, represented by their undersigned counsel, that all of Plaintiffs' claims for relief against the County Defendants which were asserted in the Complaint filed on or about March 6, 2003, including any claims against employees and officers of the County of Los Angeles, shall be resolved on the following terms as set forth in this Agreement and the accompanying Stipulated Order.

1. Definitions.

- 1.1. "Action" means this litigation entitled *Susan Rodde*, *et al.* v. *Diana Bontá*, *et al.*, filed on or about March 6, 2003 in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 03-1580 FMC (PJWx).
 - 1.2. "Agreement" means this Settlement Agreement.
- 1.3. "Board" means the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles.
- 1.4. "Class" means all present and future recipients of the Medicaid program: (a) who reside in the County of Los Angeles, (b) who have or will have disabilities, and (c) who, because of their disabilities, need or will need inpatient and/or outpatient rehabilitative and other medical services that are currently provided at Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center.
- 1.5. "Class Member" means all natural persons who fall within the definition of the Class.
 - 1.6. "County" means the County of Los Angeles.
- 1.7. "County Defendants" means the County and Thomas L.
 Garthwaite, M.D., Director and Chief Medical Officer of the County's Department of Health Services, and his successor in office.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- "Court" means the United States District Court for the Central 1.8. District of California.
 - "DHS" means the County's Department of Health Services. 1.9.
- 1.10. "Effective Date" means the first date after which all of the following events and conditions set forth below have occurred or have been met or occurred:
 - All Parties have executed this Agreement. a.
- The Court has entered the Preliminary Approval Order b. preliminarily approving the Agreement and authorizing notice be given of the Agreement to Class Members.
- The Court has entered the Stipulated Order finally approving this Agreement and dismissing without prejudice the Action.
- The Stipulated Order finally approving this Agreement and d. dismissing without prejudice the Action has become Final as defined in Paragraph 1.11 hereof.
 - 1.11. "Final" means the latest of:
- if an appeal or review is sought from the Stipulated Order a. (as defined herein), (a) ten (10) days after the date of final affirmance of the Stipulated Order, the expiration of the time for a petition for review or certiorari, or if review or certiorari is granted, ten (10) days after the date of final affirmance following review pursuant to that grant, or (b) ten days after the date of final dismissal of any appeal, denial of a petition for writ of certiorari, or final dismissal of any proceeding on review; or
- if no appeal is filed, ten (10) days after the expiration date b. of the time for filing or noticing any appeal from the Stipulated Order
- 1.12. "Parties" means Plaintiffs (as defined herein) and the County Defendants.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	1.13.	"Plaintiffs"	means	Susan	Rodde,	Kenneth	Younger	and A	ntonio
Gaxiola.									

- 1.14. "Preliminary Approval Order" means the order to be entered by the Court giving preliminary approval to the Agreement and authorizing notice to be given of the Agreement to Class Members. That Preliminary Approval Order shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- 1.15. "Rancho" means Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center.
 - 1.16. "Roybal" means Roybal Comprehensive Health Center.
- 1.17. "Settlement" means the settlement of this Action pursuant to the terms set forth in this Agreement.
- 1.18. "Stipulated Order" means the entered and appealable order of this Court approving this Agreement and dismissing without prejudice the Action. That order shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
- 2. After notice of and an opportunity to comment on this Agreement has been provided to the Class and others thereby affected, the Court shall determine whether to approve this Agreement as being a fair, reasonable and adequate settlement of the Action. Except as otherwise noted, the terms of this Agreement shall not take effect until the occurrence of the Effective Date.
- 3. This Agreement settles all claims against the County Defendants in this lawsuit.
 - This is a binding and enforceable agreement. It is not a consent decree. 4.
- 5. The County shall negotiate in good faith with entities interested in taking over Rancho and/or the core rehabilitation services provided by Rancho. The County shall assemble a dedicated team to oversee such negotiations.
- The County shall advise Plaintiffs' counsel about the progress of the 6. negotiations and about any barriers to reaching an agreement ("Takeover

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

431038

Agreement") with an entity to take over Rancho. The County shall provide counsel for Plaintiffs with quarterly updates on the status of any such negotiations.

- 7. For purposes of this Agreement only, the term "indigent" shall mean and include those individuals who (a) reside in Los Angeles County, and either (b) meet the income and resource eligibility requirements for Medi-Cal but do not fall into specified Medi-Cal eligibility criteria categories, or (c) have income below 200% of the Federal Poverty Level.
- The County shall ensure that any Takeover Agreement requires the 8. takeover entity to continue the mission of Rancho as a catastrophic rehabilitation hospital. The Takeover Agreement shall require the takeover entity to accept for treatment eligible County indigent and Medi-Cal rehabilitation inpatients and outpatients pursuant to catastrophic injuries, illnesses and related medical services admissions protocols in place at Rancho in the year prior to any takeover and shall further require the County to compensate the takeover entity at a competitive rate within industry norms for catastrophic rehabilitation hospitals. To the extent that Rancho lacks available beds or outpatient slots (appointments) for eligible County indigent rehabilitation patients who otherwise would be accepted under the abovementioned admissions protocols, the County has the obligation of referring and paying for those patients to be treated at a rehabilitation facility with the appropriate Commission for Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities ("CARF") accreditation until such time as a bed or outpatient slot at Rancho becomes available.
- 9. The County shall provide an opportunity for Plaintiffs' counsel to review and comment on the provisions in the Takeover Agreement relating to continued access to Rancho's inpatient and outpatient services by eligible County indigent and Medi-Cal rehabilitation patients. The County shall send any Takeover Agreement to Plaintiffs' counsel for their review and comment at least thirty (30) days before such agreement is submitted to the Board for approval or is executed, whichever is sooner.

10. The County shall operate Rancho at a total of 162 available beds (budgeted Average Daily Census ("ADC") of 146 beds) with the complement of core rehabilitation services (clinical rehabilitation services as outlined in the Gill/Balsano report and the rehabilitation and related services¹ listed in the County's 7/18/2003 Feasibility Report ("Core Rehabilitation Services")) and the budgeted for outpatient services that support the Core Rehabilitation Services as set out in the County's 7/18/2003 Feasibility Report plus outpatient services to support the ortho-diabetes and arthritis services at approximately the March 2005 level unless and until the take-over entity begins operating Rancho. A true and correct copy of the County's 7/18/2003 Feasibility Report is attached hereto as Exhibit "C" and incorporated herein by this reference. The County's operation of Rancho includes the CART (Center for Applied Rehabilitation Technology), the Pathokinesiology Laboratory and the Vocational Rehabilitation and Drivers' Training Program. Rancho shall continue to treat persons with the diagnosis of postpolio syndrome.

available bed commitment in Paragraph 10 above) to continue to provide its orthodiabetes and arthritis services. Additionally, the outpatient services provided to the ortho-diabetes and arthritis patients must be maintained at the same level existing as of March 18, 2005, with respect to both the types of outpatient services provided as well as the number of outpatients served. The foregoing twelve (12)-bed commitment and commitments regarding outpatient services must be maintained, whether at Rancho or, in the event of a takeover or closure of Rancho, elsewhere in the County system, for a period of three (3) years from the date this Agreement is executed. At the end of those three (3) years, the County must continue to provide ortho-diabetes and arthritis patients with the same level of inpatient and outpatient services and clinics existing at Rancho as of March 18, 2005, whether at Rancho or at another

¹ Adult Brain Injury, Spinal Cord Injury, Major Multiple Trauma, Pediatrics, Neurology, Stroke, Gerontology, Pressure Ulcer Management, Rehab Related Medical Surgeries, Intensive Care Unit ("ICU") and two (2) Operating Rooms.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

County facility, for an additional three (3) years, and the budget of the County facility receiving those patients shall be increased to cover the cost of providing these services.

- 12. County hospitals that admit patients who require chronic intravenous ("IV") antibiotic treatment services shall no longer be required to transfer them to Rancho.
- 13. To offset the increased demand on the beds at Los Angeles County-University of Southern California Medical Center ("LAC-USC") for those patients who require chronic IV antibiotic treatment and who shall no longer be transferred to Rancho (ADC at Rancho of 2.4 patients), Rancho shall direct admit from the LAC-USC emergency room ortho-diabetes patients up to a projected daily census averaging 2.0 patients on an annual basis.
- The County may operate Rancho under the license of LAC-USC if it 14. elects to do so as long as it does not interfere with future Medi-Cal revenue.
- 15. The County shall provide medically necessary health care, on an inpatient and outpatient basis, to eligible County indigent and Medi-Cal patients with liver disease who would otherwise have received such care at Rancho's former liver service ("Liver Patients").
- 15.1. The County shall provide inpatient care to the Liver Patients at County facilities which have a full-time gastrointestinal consult service.
- 15.2. The County shall provide outpatient care to the Liver Patients by at least continuing to operate liver and hepatitis outpatient clinics in the LAC-USC Healthcare Network at the same level as they were operated at Roybal and LAC-USC (as measured by average visits per month) as of March 2005. Medical staff at the liver clinics shall have direct admitting privileges at County hospitals.
- 15.3. The County shall provide outpatient care that is designed to limit or prevent the hospitalization or repeat hospitalization of the Liver Patients.

Nineteenth Floor	Los Angeles, California 90067	(310) 553-3000	

15	5.4. The County s	hall include the	Liver Patients	into a case
management s	ystem.			

- 15.5. The County shall monitor all current patients registered in the liver clinics at Roybal and LAC-USC beginning as soon as practical, for the following indicators:
 - a. What is the Emergency Department boarding time and waiting time for hospital admission for patients from the clinics who require acute inpatient care (<u>i.e.</u>, time for patient referred from clinic to be admitted to a hospital bed)?
 - b. What is the readmission rate for these patients?
 - c. What percentage of the patients continue to maintain the same principal source of care?
 - d. When admitted for acute care, which hospitals are used?
- 15.6. The Medical Director of the DHS, the Medical Director of LAC-USC and the Medical Executive Committee of LAC-USC shall review the results of the above-mentioned monitoring semi-annually and shall provide said results without patient identifying information to Plaintiffs' counsel. Upon submission of the results to the Medical Executive Committee of LAC-USC, the Medical Director of LAC-USC shall send a written confirmation to Plaintiffs' counsel, as designated in Paragraph 33 herein, attesting to the fact that the results have been submitted to the Medical Executive Committee of LAC-USC.
- 15.7. In the event the above-mentioned data in Paragraph 15.5 above reveal that the Liver Patients are not receiving medically necessary health care, the County shall implement additional measures to ensure that these patients will receive medically necessary health care for their liver disease.
- 16. Except as otherwise provided herein, the County shall operate Rancho under the standards cited in Paragraphs 10-15 above for a period of three (3) years from the occurrence of the Effective Date ("Three Year Period").

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

17. During the Three Year Period, the County shall pursue with due diligence (as defined in Paragraph 17.1 below) a buyer and/or operator and/or the establishment and operation of an Internal Revenue Code section 501(c)(3) corporation to take over Rancho and shall use its best efforts to implement measures to improve Rancho's operations so that the facility operates in the most efficient and economical manner practicable. Should another entity be willing to take over Rancho, the County shall require that entity to continue to operate Rancho as a comprehensive catastrophic rehabilitation hospital with both inpatients and outpatients.

- "Due Diligence" shall mean at least the following: 17.1
- A panel ("the Panel") shall be promptly created consisting of Dr. Robert Tranquada (tentative selection) or another person chosen by the Plaintiffs' counsel at any time and Dean Norman, M.D., or another person chosen by the County at any time. Plaintiffs' Counsel and the County reserve the right to change their Panel designees at any time. The County shall compensate members of the Panel no more than \$225.00 per hour of work fulfilling the obligations set forth in this Agreement provided that the members submit to the County bills reflecting the number of hours and a brief description of the work performed. The County shall also pay the reasonable out-of-pocket costs (excluding travel expenses) incurred by members of the Panel, such costs to be paid in accordance with the County's travel reimbursement policy. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the County shall not be obligated to pay Plaintiffs' appointee to the Panel more than \$100,000 plus allowable out-of-pocket expenses for the performance of his or her duties as a member of the Panel under this Agreement.
- The County shall fund and retain at least one individual on a full-time basis to pursue the County's duties under Paragraph 17 above. The Panel shall approve the full-time individual so retained.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

- c. The County shall prepare quarterly written reports of its progress to find an entity to take over Rancho as well as its efforts to implement measures to improve Rancho's operations so that the facility operates in the most efficient and economical manner practicable and shall send such reports to the Panel, Plaintiffs' counsel and Defendants' counsel in the manner specified in Paragraph 33 herein. The Panel shall evaluate the quarterly reports and report their evaluation to the Parties.
- d. Should the County initiate any of the steps set forth in Paragraph 18 below, any member of the Panel who is not licensed to practice medicine shall be replaced with an individual who is licensed to practice medicine.
- e. The Panel shall remain in existence for three (3) years and six (6) months from the occurrence of the Effective Date.
- a. If after the end of the Three Year Period, the County has not engaged 18. an entity to assume the responsibility of operating Rancho pursuant to the terms of Paragraphs 8 and 17 above, the County cannot close Rancho without: (i) holding a hearing under the Beilenson Act; (ii) developing a written plan addressing how those eligible County indigent patients who otherwise would have been admitted to Rancho will instead receive medically necessary rehabilitation services at a facility with the appropriate CARF accreditation; (iii) developing a written discharge plan for each existing indigent, Medi-Cal or Medi-Cal pending inpatient at Rancho in accordance with applicable law and medically acceptable standards; (iv) developing a written discharge plan for each indigent, Medi-Cal or Medi-Cal pending outpatient at Rancho who is diagnosed with one or more ambulatory care sensitive conditions or a chronic medical condition of clinical significance, during a previous visit, and is not stabilized or has a complex multi-system disorder (e.g., outpatients who use a ventilator and/or who have a diagnosis of postpolio syndrome) in accordance with applicable law, medically acceptable standards and the notification and assistance requirements set forth in DHS's September 11, 2002 memo entitled Check of Actions Related to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Redesign Implementation and Attachment 5 (patient triage requirements) thereto (a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D and incorporated herein by this reference) regarding health center closure requirements and the attached patient triage requirements for patient notification, medical records and clinical staff follow-up for the above categories of indigent, Medi-Cal or Medi-Cal pending; (v) developing referral procedures for all other indigent, Medi-Cal or Medi-Cal pending outpatients at Rancho (not covered by the immediately receding subparagraph (iv)) in accordance with applicable law and medically acceptable standards; and (vi) arranging for Los Angeles County's California Children's Services (CCS) program to assume responsibility for ensuring that appropriate inpatient and outpatient care are provided to CCS eligible children who otherwise would have been receiving inpatient and/or outpatient care at Rancho. For purposes of this subparagraph 18.a., outpatients include those persons who received an outpatient service at Rancho during the three (3) years prior to the date that work begins on any of the discharge plans identified in this subparagraph.

- If after the end of the Three Year Period, the County decides either h. to close Rancho or to reduce inpatient or outpatient services at Rancho by twenty-five percent (25%) or more from the levels set out in Paragraphs 10 and 11 above, the County shall do the following:
- (1) The County shall provide the Panel (if in existence) and Plaintiffs' counsel with copies of the transfer agreements that it has in place at the time it makes any decision to close Rancho or reduce inpatient and outpatient services at Rancho (as described above) for its directly operated trauma centers pursuant to 22 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 100259(d)(4), 100259(e)(2), 100261(d)(3), 100261(e)(2), and 100263(j)(3)(C);
- (2) The County shall provide a written report to the Panel (if in existence) and Plaintiffs' counsel as to the plans the County has developed for: (i) providing inpatient and outpatient rehabilitation services or related medical services

to eligible County indigents and Medi-Cal recipients who are impacted by the proposed closure or reduction at other County facilities with appropriate CARF accreditation instead of at Rancho; or (ii) referring eligible County indigents and Medi-Cal recipients who otherwise would have been referred to Rancho for inpatient and/or outpatient rehabilitation services or related medical services to non-County facilities with the appropriate CARF accreditation in Los Angeles County;

County shall conduct a survey of CARF accredited facilities that accept Medi-Cal recipients as patients and that provide the same or similar inpatient and outpatient services as provided by Rancho pursuant to Paragraph 10 of this Agreement. Based upon the results of this survey, the written report to the Panel shall set forth for each such facility: (i) the different rehabilitation services provided by the facility; (ii) the number of beds that the facility has designated for rehabilitation services, including for catastrophic rehabilitation services and for patients on ventilators, and (iii) the facility's response to the County's inquiry as to whether it would be willing to provide inpatient and/or outpatient rehabilitation services to additional Medi-Cal recipients and, if so, the number of additional Medi-Cal recipients the facility would be willing to accept for inpatient rehabilitation services and for outpatient rehabilitation services.

c. At least seventy-five (75) days prior to giving notice under the Beilenson Act pursuant to this Paragraph, the County shall distribute the plans referenced in paragraphs 18.a.(ii)-(iv) and 18.b.(2) to the Panel (if in existence) and to Plaintiffs' counsel. Within sixty (60) days after receipt of any of these Plans, the Panel (if in existence) shall issue to the Board and Plaintiffs' counsel and Defendants' counsel: (i) a written recommendation as to whether the County's plan ensures the indigent patients shall continue to receive medically appropriate rehabilitation and related services as provided at Rancho as of March, 2005, and as defined in this Agreement and (ii) a written report on the impact of a Rancho closure

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

or reduction that excludes Medi-Cal patients on the ability of these Medi-Cal patients to receive medically necessary rehabilitation and related medical services.

- d. Notwithstanding Paragraphs 18.b.(1)-(3) and 18.c. above, Defendants shall no longer be required to provide the Panel with the written materials covered by Paragraphs 18.b.(1)-(3) and 18.c. of this Agreement after three (3) years and six (6) months from the occurrence of the Effective Date of this Agreement, but this shall not relieve Defendants of the obligation to provide the written materials required by Paragraphs 18.b.(1)-(3) and 18.c. to Plaintiff's counsel beyond that time period.
- If the Plaintiffs or Class Members wish to assert that the County has breached any of the terms of this Agreement, or that the County's decision to close Rancho or to reduce inpatient or outpatient services at Rancho by twenty-five percent (25%) or more from the levels set out in Paragraphs 10 and 11 above otherwise violate the law, the County will not object to the new matter being assigned to the Honorable Florence Marie Cooper.
- f. During the Three Year Period, Plaintiffs shall not file or initiate any new legal action against the County Defendants as to the matters covered by Paragraphs 10-15 of this Agreement so long as the County Defendants fully comply with the standards cited in Paragraphs 10-15 of this Agreement during those same period(s) of time. This Agreement is otherwise not intended in any way to limit, impair or affect the rights of Plaintiffs or Class Members, if any, to challenge within the Three Year Period any: (i) future closure of Rancho; (ii) future transfer of Rancho to a take-over entity; and/or (iii) future reduction in the level of services available at Rancho to Medi-Cal recipients below those set forth in Paragraphs 10, 11, 13 and 15 of this Agreement.
 - Information under this Agreement: 19.
- 19.1. During the Three Year Period, the County shall provide Plaintiffs' counsel with the information listed below on a quarterly basis no later than thirty (30)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

days after the expiration of the preceding quarter (e.g., information shall be provided no later than April 30, 2006, for the quarter covering January 1, through March 31, 2006):

- The monthly census of inpatients and outpatients at Rancho with separate breakdowns by payor source at time of admission (namely, Medi-Cal recipients, Medi-Cal pending, General Relief, self-pay/uninsured and other indigents) and by service (e.g., Pediatrics or Neurology).
- The monthly census of ortho-diabetes patients directly b. admitted to Rancho from the LAC-USC emergency room.
- 19.2. During the last year of the Three Year Period, the County shall provide Plaintiffs' counsel on a quarterly basis a breakdown of the numbers of patients who have been admitted to Rancho by source of referral (i.e., another County hospital or facility, other hospitals, long-term care facilities); and
- 19.3. Additionally, if the County enters into a Takeover Agreement prior to the last quarter of the Three Year Period, the County shall provide Plaintiffs' counsel with the information set out in the immediately preceding subparagraph 19.2 for the three (3) quarters prior to the County's signing of the Takeover Agreement.
- Judge Florence-Marie Cooper of the Court or her successor at the Court 20. shall retain jurisdiction over this Action for the purpose of resolving any disputes that may arise regarding this Agreement, including any action to enforce the Agreement.
- 21. If the Court does not approve this Agreement and the basis for the Court's disapproval of the Agreement does not involve a material term of this Agreement, then the Parties shall make good faith efforts to modify the Agreement so as to gain the Court's approval. If the Parties are unable to modify the Agreement so as to gain the Court's approval, or if an order approving this Agreement is not upheld on appeal, this Agreement shall be null and void and the Action shall proceed with respect to the Parties and Class Members as if there had been no Settlement.

- 23. This Agreement, the fact of its existence, and any term hereof shall not be construed as an admission by the County Defendants or used as evidence against the County Defendants in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding except as described below. This Agreement, the fact of its existence, and any term hereof shall be admissible in evidence in any proceedings in this Action and in any subsequent lawsuit to enforce the terms of this Agreement.
- 24. Plaintiffs' counsel can file a lawsuit to enforce the terms of this Agreement on behalf of Plaintiffs and/or Class Members; County Defendants can file a lawsuit on their own behalf to enforce the terms of this Agreement. Before filing any lawsuit to enforce the terms of this Agreement, counsel for the Party or Parties (including Class Members) who wish to bring such a lawsuit shall contact counsel for the opposing Parties to discuss thoroughly, preferably in person, the substance of the contemplated lawsuit and any potential resolution. Any such lawsuit shall not be filed until twenty (20) days after the Parties have conferred to discuss the lawsuit unless either Party is threatened with irreparable harm, in which case the lawsuit can be filed in a shorter period of time.
- 25. Subject to approval by the Court, the County Defendants shall pay \$1.75 million to Plaintiffs' counsel for the County Defendants' share of the attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred by Plaintiffs' counsel. Within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, a check in the amount of \$1.75 million made payable to the Western

Law Center for Disability Rights on behalf of all Plaintiffs' counsel shall be issued and delivered to Paula D. Pearlman at the address set forth in Paragraph 33. After this Agreement has been finally approved by the Court, Plaintiffs' counsel and County Defendants' counsel shall respectively be entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses as ordered by the Court in accordance with the standards otherwise applicable to civil rights actions brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (including *Christianburg Garment Co. v. EEOC*, 434 U.S. 412 (1977)) if their respective clients are the prevailing parties on any new lawsuit to enforce the terms of this Agreement. Before the filing of any motion for attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, the parties shall follow the procedures set forth in Paragraph 24 above.

- 26. Nothing contained herein shall be deemed to constitute a custom, policy or practice of the County.
- 27. This Agreement contains all the terms and conditions agreed upon by the Parties hereto, and no oral agreement entered into at any time nor any written agreement entered into prior to the execution of this Agreement regarding the subject matter of this proceeding shall be deemed to exist, or to bind the Parties hereto, or to vary the terms and conditions contained herein.
- 28. The Parties agree that no single Party shall be deemed to have drafted this Agreement or any portion thereof and that for purposes of construing any ambiguity it is agreed that this Agreement is the collaborative effort of all attorneys and there will be no construction of any ambiguity against any Party on account of any claim that such Party drafted the subject language.
- 29. Counsel for the Parties are expressly authorized by the Parties whom they represent to enter into this Agreement, to take all appropriate action required or permitted to be taken by such Parties pursuant to this Agreement to effectuate its terms, and to execute any other documents required to effectuate the terms of this Agreement. The Parties and their counsel will cooperate with each other in good faith to effect the implementation of the Agreement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 30. This Agreement may only be amended, modified, or supplemented by:
 - an agreement in writing signed by both the County Defendants and (a) Plaintiffs' counsel and approved by the Court; or
 - (b) other order of the Court.
- 31. The Parties recognize and acknowledge that this Agreement must be approved by the Court pursuant to Paragraph 2 above. No later than forty-five (45) days after this Agreement has been executed by all the Parties, Plaintiffs' Counsel shall file a motion seeking preliminary approval of the Agreement, the giving of the notice to Class Members, and entry of the Preliminary Approval Order substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "A." The Parties agree to cooperate in good faith in the creation of all papers submitted to the Court to secure such approval.
- 32. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the legal representatives and any successor of Plaintiffs, Class Members and the County Defendants.
- 33. All notices, requests, demands and other communications required or permitted hereunder shall be given in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given if delivered by hand, or if mailed by United States certified or registered mail, with postage prepaid, five (5) days after mailing, or if delivered by telecopy, with receipt confirmed and a confirming letter sent by United States mail, certified or registered mail, two (2) days after telecopying:

21 To the Marilyn Holle, Esq.

Protection and Advocacy, Inc. Plaintiffs:

3580 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 902 Los Angeles, California 90010-2512 Telephone: (213) 427-8747

Facsimile: (213) 427-8767

with a copy to:

Paula D. Pearlman, Esq. Western Law Center for Disability Rights

919 South Albany Street

Los Angeles, California 90015-1211 Telephone: (213) 736-1031 Facsimile: (213) 736-1428

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

or to such other person or address as Plaintiffs shall furnish to the other Party hereto in writing.

To the County Defendants:	Raymond G. Fortner, Esq., County Counsel Leela A. Kapur, Esq., Senior Assistant County
	Counsel

Sharon A. Reichman, Esq., Principal Deputy

County Counsel

648 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration

500 West Temple Street

Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 974-1825 Facsimile: (213) 680-2165 Facsimile:

Patricia L. Glaser, Esq. with a copy to:

Alisa Morgenthaler Lever, Esq. Christensen, Miller, Fink, Jacobs,

Glaser, Weil & Shapiro, LLP. 10250 Constellation Boulevard, 19th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 553-3000 Facsimile: (310) 556-2920

or to such other person or address as the County Defendants shall furnish to the other Party hereto in writing.

- 34. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which will be deemed to be an original and all of which taken together shall constitute a single instrument. This Agreement may be executed by signature via facsimile transmission which shall be deemed the same as an original signature.
- 35. This Agreement and the Stipulated Order shall be considered to have been negotiated, executed and delivered, and to be wholly performed, in the State of California, and the rights and obligations of the Parties to the Agreement, as well as Class Members, shall be construed in accordance with and governed by, the internal substantive laws of the State of California without giving effect to that state's choice of law principles.
- Except as expressly provided herein, this Agreement shall not confer any right or benefit upon or release from liability any person who is not a Party to this Agreement or a Class Member.

37.

1

28

No waiver of any provision of this Agreement shall be deemed, or shall

constitute, a waiver of any other provision whether or not similar, nor shall any

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CO	ONTENT:
	CHRISTENSEN, MILLER, FINK, JACOBS, GLASER, WEIL & SHAPIRO, LLP
Dated: August 22, 2005 By:	[signed] ALISA MORGENTHALER LEVER
	Attorneys for Defendants Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles Los Angeles
	Attorneys for Defendants Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, Los Angeles County Department of Health Services and Thomas L. Garthwaite, M.D.
	Thomas E. Garthwarte, W.D.
	OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
Dated: August 16, 2005	By:[signed] LEELA ANN KAPUR
	Attorneys for Defendants Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, Los Angeles County Department of Health Services and Thomas L. Garthwaite, M.D.
	County Department of Health Services and
	Thomas D. Gardiwares, M.D.
	WESTERN LAW CENTER FOR DISABILITY RIGHTS
Dated: August 23, 2005	By: <u>[signed</u> EVE L. HILL
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	PROTECTION AND ADVOCACY, INC.
	ROTLETION MAD ADVOCACT, INC.
Datad: Santambar 7, 2005	By: [signed]
	By:signed] MELINDA BIRD Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	Autorneys for Frankfirs
	WESTERN LAW CENTER ON LAW & POVERTY
	OVERTI
Dated: August 21, 2005	Dry Tajanad
	By: [signed ROBERT D. NEWMAN
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
[SIGNATUR	ES ON NEXT PAGE]
	Dated: August 22, 2005 Dated: August 16, 2005 Dated: August 23, 2005 Dated: September 7, 2005 Dated: August 31, 2005

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

Dated: August 31, 2005	By: [signed] JEFFREY DAVIDSON Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	NATIONAL SENIOR CITIZENS LAW CENTER
Dated: August 19, 2005	By: [signed] GERALD A. MCINTYRE Attorneys for Plaintiffs