

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LORENZO MAYS, RICKY  
RICHARDSON, JENNIFER BOTHUN,  
ARMANI LEE, LEERTESE BEIRGE, and  
CODY GARLAND, on behalf of  
themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO,

Defendant.

No. 2:18-cv-02081-TLN-KJN

**[CLASS ACTION]**

**ORDER GRANTING FINAL  
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION  
SETTLEMENT**

Plaintiffs Lorenzo Mays, Ricky Richardson, Jennifer Bothun, Armani Lee, Leertese Beirge, and Cody Garland (collectively, "Plaintiffs") are state prisoners, proceeding through counsel. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On December 9, 2019, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. (ECF No. 104.) On December 19, 2019, the parties filed a joint statement of non-opposition to the findings and recommendations. (ECF No. 109.)

///

1           Accordingly, the Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v.*  
2 *United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are  
3 reviewed *de novo*. *See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.  
4 1983); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

5           Having reviewed the file under the applicable legal standards, the Court finds the Findings  
6 and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge’s analysis.

7           Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

8           1. The Findings and Recommendations filed December 9, 2019 (ECF No. 104), are  
9 adopted in full;

10           2. The Joint Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement (ECF No. 102) is  
11 GRANTED;

12           3. The Consent Decree (ECF No. 85-1) is APPROVED and adopted as the Order of the  
13 Court; all parties shall comply with all its terms; Defendant shall implement the Remedial Plan  
14 and accompanying policies pursuant to the schedule set forth therein;


15           4. The Clerk of the Court shall separately file and docket the “Final Class Notice” (ECF  
16 No. 89-1);

17           5. The Clerk of the Court shall separately file and docket the “Consent Decree” (ECF No.  
18 85-1) after striking “proposed” from the title; and

19           6. The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment and terminate this action.

20           IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: January 8, 2020

22  
23  
24 

25 Troy L. Nunley  
26 United States District Judge  
27  
28