## Case 2:18-cv-02081-TLN-KJN Document 120 Filed 06/24/20 Page 1 of 6 Aaron J. Fischer (SBN 247391) Jessica Valenzuela Santamaria (SBN 220934) 1 Aaron.Fischer@disabilityrightsca.org jvs@cooley.com Anne Hadreas (SBN 253377) Mark A. Zambarda (SBN 314808) 2 mzambarda@cooley.com anne.hadreas@disabilityrightsca.org Addison M. Litton (ŠBN 305374) DISABILITY RIGHTS CALIFORNIA 3 1330 Broadway, Suite 500 alitton@cooley.com Oakland, CA 94612 COOLEY LLP 4 Telephone: (510) 267-1200 3175 Hanover Street Fax: (510) 267-1201 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 5 Telephone: (650) 843-5000 Donald Specter (SBN 83925) Facsimile: (650) 849-7400 6 dspecter@prisonlaw.com Margot Mendelson (SBN 268583) Attorneys for Plaintiffs 7 mmendelson@prisonlaw.com Sophie Hart (SBN 321663) 8 sophieh@prisonlaw.coom PRÍSON LAW OFFICE 9 1917 Fifth Street Berkeley, California 94710 10 Telephone: (510) 280-2621 Fax: (510) 280-2704 11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 SACRAMENTO DIVISION 15 16 Case No. 2:18-cy-02081 TLN KJN LORENZO MAYS, RICKY 17 RICHARDSON, JENNIFER BOTHUN, ARMANI LEE, and **CLASS ACTION** 18 LEERTESE BEIRGE on behalf of JOINT STATUS REPORT themselves and all others similarly 19 situated, 20 Judge: Hon. Kendall J. Newman Plaintiffs, 21 v. Complaint Filed: July 31, 2018 22 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO. 23 Defendant. 24 25 26

27

28

On April 27, 2020, the parties filed a Stipulation informing the Court that, in light of the disruption to operations in the Sacramento County Jail facilities (the "Jail") caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, the parties agreed to postpone scheduled on-site monitoring visits by the Court Experts and Plaintiffs' counsel. The parties jointly sought the Court's leave to extend the deadlines for the Court Experts' first reports on Defendant's compliance with the mental health, medical, and suicide prevention components of the Remedial Plan. The parties requested leave to subsequently file a status report that would provide further information to the Court about the status of monitoring and would propose a modification to the Court Expert reporting deadlines described in the Consent Decree.

The Court granted the request to extend the deadlines and directed the parties to file a status report on or before June 24, 2020, as to the issues above. Dkt. 119. The parties, having conferred and, with input from the Court Experts, provide the following status report.

## I. Framework and Deadlines to Apply to First Monitoring Report

- 1. The COVID-19 pandemic made it unfeasible for the Court Experts to complete their monitoring duties and to produce their first monitoring reports on the timeline contemplated in the Consent Decree.
- 2. Based on current circumstances relating to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Sheriff's Department anticipates that it will be feasible to arrange for on-site monitoring by Court Experts who are able to travel to the Jail and Plaintiffs' counsel. However, one or more of the Court Experts who reside out of state have indicated that depending on how the circumstances of the COVID-19 pandemic develop in the coming weeks and months, they may not be able to travel to the Jail to conduct on-site monitoring in the near-term.
- 3. The parties and the Court Experts have conferred regarding a modified monitoring process for purposes of the Court Experts' first monitoring reports.

Accordingly, the parties jointly seek the Court's approval of the following parameters for completion of the first monitoring reports by the Court Experts:

- a. The Court Experts and Plaintiffs' counsel who are able to travel to the Jail for on-site monitoring will be permitted to do so, within modified parameters as agreed upon by the parties and as consistent with operational and public safety needs related to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- b. Defendant will provide the Courts Experts and Plaintiffs' counsel with remote access to class members' Jail electronic health records (including medical and mental health records) to allow for remote individual records review.
- c. The Court Experts and Plaintiffs' counsel will request production of individual class member Jail custody records as they deem necessary for their monitoring role. Defendant will produce such records within seven (7) calendar days of the request.
- d. Defendant will arrange for a virtual tour of the facilities to accommodate the Court Experts who are unable to do an on-site monitoring visit. The virtual tour will be made available to all Court Experts and Plaintiffs' counsel.
- e. Defendant will timely produce documents, data, and other information requested by the Court Experts and Plaintiffs' counsel.
- f. The parties will work cooperatively to ensure that the Court Experts and Plaintiffs' counsel are able to conduct interviews of custody and health care staff and confidential, voluntary interviews of class members.
- g. The Court Experts will prepare a draft written report on Defendant's efforts to meet the terms of the Consent Decree, as set forth in the Court's Order re: Joint Request for Appointment of Court Experts (Dkt. 117, ¶ 4) no later than **October 7, 2020**.

h. The parties will provide written comments or objections to the Court Experts' draft reports no later than **October 21, 2020**.

- The Court Experts will issue their final reports no later than October 28, 2020. The parties will promptly file a Joint Status Report with the final reports.
- j. Where there is a conflict between the aforementioned provisions and the provisions in the Court's Order re: Joint Request for Appointment of Court Experts (Dkt. 117), the provisions set forth above shall control for purposes of the first monitoring report. All other provisions in the Court's previous orders remain in effect.
- k. Absent further court order, the monitoring and reporting provisions set forth in the Consent Decree and the Court's Order re: Joint Request for Appointment of Court Experts (Dkt. 117) will apply to all monitoring after completion of the first monitoring reports.
- 4. Defendant will complete and file its first status report on its progress toward compliance with the Consent Decree by **July 20, 2020**, as consistent with Paragraph 12 of the Consent Decree.
- 5. Plaintiffs' counsel, consistent with their monitoring authority under the Consent Decree and their role in reporting on Defendant's compliance with the components of the Remedial Plan pertaining to restrictive housing, ADA/disability, and discipline and use of force for people with mental health and intellectual disabilities (Consent Decree ¶¶ 23-24), will complete their first report on or before **October 28, 2020**.

## II. <u>Dispute Resolution Process and Agreement Reached on Certain COVID-19</u> <u>Policies</u>

6. Since the filing of the parties' last report to the Court (Dkt. 118, Apr. 27, 2020), Defendant has continued to provide regular updates and data to Plaintiffs'

4 5

- counsel. The parties have conferred by teleconference on a regular basis to discuss the County's response to the COID-19 pandemic as it relates to the health, safety, and rights of *Mays* class members. The parties agree that the issues set forth in the *Mays* Complaint and the *Mays* Consent Decree generally cover the County's response to the COVID-19 pandemic as it impacts *Mays* class members.
- 7. On May 27, 2020, Plaintiffs' counsel sent to Defendant a Notice of Dispute about two alleged deficiencies regarding Defendant's COVID-19 response: first, the failure to require custody staff to wear face coverings in the Jail facilities; and second, the denial of showers and access to phones to class members subject to the mandatory seven-day intake quarantine period for new arrivals and to the fourteen-day quarantine period for people who live in celled housing and have symptoms or possible exposure to COVID-19.
- 8. Consistent with the Dispute Resolution provisions set forth in the Consent Decree (Section G), the Court Experts on medical care (Michael Rowe, M.D. and Madeleine LaMarre MN, FNP-BC) prepared an expert report, dated June 8, 2020, regarding the face coverings dispute.
- 9. Following a video conference mediation with Magistrate Judge Cousins, the designated dispute resolution mediator (Consent Decree ¶ 34) on June 15, 2020, the parties reached an agreement resolving the face coverings dispute and providing a framework for further discussions on the conditions for class members in COVID-19-related quarantine. The Memorandum of Agreement is attached to this Joint Status Report.
- 10. Defendant will continue to provide regular updates and data regarding the COVID-19 situation and response at the Jail, and the parties will confer on the matter on a regular basis. Defendant will provide all relevant policies and procedures, and COVID-19 class member data, to Plaintiffs' Counsel and the Court Experts. The

## Case 2:18-cv-02081-TLN-KJN Document 120 Filed 06/24/20 Page 6 of 6

Court Experts will participate in conferences between the parties regarding these 1 2 matters, as appropriate. Other Remedial Plan Implementation Activities 3 III. 4 Defendant continues to produce requested data and information pursuant 5 to the Consent Decree, to allow for monitoring to proceed in a manner consistent with precautions necessary during the current public health emergency. 6 The parties continue to confer regarding the revision and development of 7 12. 8 Defendant's operational policies to ensure that they reflect the requirements of the 9 Remedial Plan. The parties agree to invite the participation of the Court Experts in 10 that process, in order to enhance efficiency in the Remedial Plan implementation 11 process. 12 13 The parties request the Court approve the modified framework and deadlines 14 for the first monitoring reports of the Court Experts, as set forth in Paragraph 3(a)-(k) 15 herein and in the Proposed Order, attached herewith. 16 Respectfully submitted, 17 18 Dated: June 24, 2020 Aaron J. Fischer (SBN 247391) DISABILITY RIGHTS CALIFORNIA 19 Attorney for Plaintiffs 20 21 Dated: June 24, 2020 22 Margot Mendelson (SBN 268583) PRISON LAW OFFICE 23 Attorney for Plaintiffs 24 25 Dated: June 24, 2020 Rick Heyer (SBN 216150) SACRAMENTO COUNTY COUNSEL 26 Attorney for Defendant

27

28