1 2 3 4 5	KIMBERLY SWAIN (Bar # 100340) MICHAEL STORTZ (Bar # 153378) ERIC GELBER (Bar # 95256) ELISSA GERSHON (Bar # 169741) PROTECTION & ADVOCACY, INC. 433 Hegenberger Road, Suite 220 Oakland, CA 94621 TEL: (510) 430-8033 FAX: (510) 430-8246
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs [Complete list of counsel on following pages]
7	BILL LOCKYER
8	Attorney General of the State of California DOUGLAS M. PRESS
9	Supervising Deputy Attorney General BEVERLEY R. MEYERS (Bar # 109624)
10	Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
11	San Francisco, CA 94102 TEL: (415) 703-5545 FAX: (415) 703-5480
12	SHARTSIS, FRIESE & GINSBURG LLP
13	ARTHUR J. SHARTSIS (Bar #51549) TRACY L. SALISBURY (Bar #106837)
14	AMY L. HESPENHEIDE (Bar #200930) One Maritime Plaza, 18th Floor
15	San Francisco, California 94111 TEL: (415) 421-6500 FAX: (415) 421-2922
16	Attorneys for STATE DEFENDANTS
17	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
20	
21	CHARLES DAVIS, JACKIE DEL ROSARIO,) No. C00-2532 SBA
22	JESSIE FITCHETT, HARRY PRIETO, LORRAINE ROBLES, GERALD SCOTT, HONG) SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
23	T., M.W. AND THE INDEPENDENT LIVING () RESOURCE CENTER OF SAN FRANCISCO, ()
24	Plaintiffs,)
25	v.)
26	}
	<u> </u>
27	

1	CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND HUMAN)
2	SERVICES AGENCY (HHS), GRANTLAND) JOHNSON, Secretary of HHS, sued in his official)
3	capacity; CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN) FRANCISCO; CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT)
4	OF HEALTH SERVICES (DHS); DIANA) BONTA, Director of DHS, sued in her official)
5	capacity; CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF) SOCIAL SERVICES (DSS); RITA SAENZ,)
6	Director of DSS, sued in her official capacity;) CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL)
7	HEALTH (DMH); STEPHEN MAYBERG, Director of DMH, sued in his official capacity;
8	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF AGING (DOA); LYNDA TERRY, Director of DOA, sued ()
9	in her official capacity,
10	Defendants.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS	
Arlene Mayerson, State Bar NO. 79310 Larisa Cummings, State Bar No. 13107 DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION	Andrew Thomas Sinclair, State Bar NO. 72681 LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW THOMAS SINCLAIR
AND DEFENSE FUND 2212 6 th Street	505 Seventeenth Street Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: (510) 644-2555	Telephone: (510) 465-5300 Fax: (510) 465-5356
Mary Giliberti, Pro Hac Vice	Glenn Rhodes
Ira Burnim, Pro Hac Vice Jennifer Mathis, Pro Hac Vice	James Valentine Christopher Kelley
MENTAL HEALTH LAW	Lisa S. Buccino HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP
Washington, DC 20005-2002 Telephone: (202) 467-5730	301 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94026-3741
rax. (202) 223-0409	Telephone: (650) 463-8100 Fax: (650) 463-8400
Herbert Semmel, State Bar No. 176558 NATIONAL SENIOR CITIZENS	Bruce Vignery Dorothy Siemon
3425 Wilshire blvd., Suite 2860	AARP Foundation Litigation 601 "E" Street, N.W. Bld A4-170 Washington, DC 20049
Telephone: (213) 639-0930 Fax: (213) 639-0934	Telephone: (202) 434-2127 Fax: (202) 434-2136
	Arlene Mayerson, State Bar NO. 79310 Larisa Cummings, State Bar No. 13107 DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION AND DEFENSE FUND 2212 6 th Street Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 644-2555 Fax: (510) 841-8645 Mary Giliberti, Pro Hac Vice Ira Burnim, Pro Hac Vice Jennifer Mathis, Pro Hac Vice BAZELON CENTER FOR MENTAL HEALTH LAW 1101 15 th Street, N.W., Suite 1212 Washington, DC 20005-2002 Telephone: (202) 467-5730 Fax: (202) 223-0409 Herbert Semmel, State Bar No. 176558 NATIONAL SENIOR CITIZENS LAW CENTER 3425 Wilshire blvd., Suite 2860 Los Angeles, CA 90010-1938 Telephone: (213) 639-0930

ı,	/	١.	
4	-	-	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

PARTIES TO THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

I.

The parties to this Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") are named plaintiffs Charles Davis, Jackie Del Rosario, Jessie Fitchett, Lorraine Robles, Gerald Scott, Hong T., M.W.; the Independent Living Resources Center of San Francisco (named plaintiffs Harry Prieto and Henry Rojas being deceased); and the class certified by order of the Court on June 12, 2002, defined as "All adult Medi-Cal beneficiaries who: (1) are or will be come residents of Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center, or (2) are or will be on waiting lists for Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center; or (3) are or will be within two years of discharge from Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center, or (4) are or will become patients at San Francisco General Hospital or other hospitals owned or controlled by the City and County of San Francisco, who are eligible for discharge to Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center" (collectively "Plaintiffs"); and the California Health and Human Services Agency ("CHHSA") and its Secretary, Grantland Johnson; the California Department of Health Services ("DHS") and its Director, Diana Bonta; the California Department of Social Services ("DSS") and its Director, Rita Saenz; the California Department of Mental Health ("DMH") and its Director, Stephen Mayberg; and the California Department of Aging ("CDA") and its Director, Linda Terry (collectively, the "State Defendants").

II.

PURPOSE OF SETTLEMENT

The parties desire to resolve their differences and avoid the uncertainties of trial and therefore enter into this Settlement Agreement.

In Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, to be filed contemporaneously with or prior to the execution of this Agreement, Plaintiffs allege in claims Three, Six and Ten that State Defendants violate 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Nursing Home Reform Act, specifically 42 U.S.C. § 1396r (the Pre-Admission Screening and Resident Review provisions of the Medicaid Act with respect to people with mental illness (PASRR/MI)) and implementing regulations.

In Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs allege in Claims Three and Six that State Defendants violate 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA) and 29 U.S.C. § 794 (Section 504) and implementing regulations in failing to assess for the most integrated setting appropriate to individual need.

State Defendants have at all times denied Plaintiffs' claims, and both Plaintiffs and State Defendants recognize that the ultimate result of this litigation cannot be predicted with certainty. Moreover, the parties recognize the continuation of the litigation would involve substantial additional legal fees, costs and other expense. Pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, Plaintiffs agree to dismiss without prejudice all claims alleged against all State Defendants upon court approval of this Agreement. After State Defendants' implementation of the specific changes agreed to here in the PASRR/MI process, Plaintiffs agree to dismiss with prejudice claims Three, Six and Ten against all State Defendants.

Plaintiffs enter into this Settlement Agreement in connection with and as part of an overall settlement of this case. In that regard, and in further consideration of the settlement of Plaintiffs' PASRR/MI claims, Plaintiffs will dismiss the claims as stated.

By entering into and complying with this Settlement Agreement, no party makes any concession as to the merits of the opposing party's claims or defenses.

The parties enter into this Agreement in mutual recognition and support of class members' goals to live in the most integrated setting appropriate to individual need.

The California Department of Mental Health's philosophy for individualized assessment is based on the concept of recovery and psycho-social rehabilitation, including client-directed assessment and services planning, strengths-based clinical assessment, the wellness approach to services and functional assessment of skills.

SETTLEMENT TERMS

III.

For and in consideration of the terms of this Agreement, and subject to the contingency described in Section IV of this Settlement Agreement, Plaintiffs and State Defendants stipulate and agree as follows, subject to the approval of the Court:

1. As used herein, "Level I Screen" means the form completed in connection with

- admission to a nursing facility to identify individuals suspected of having a serious mental illness (MI) or developmental disability (DD), pursuant to the Pre-Admission Screening and Resident Review (PASRR) requirements in 42 U.S.C. § 1396r and 42 C.F.R. § 483.100 *et seq.* "Level II Evaluation" means the evaluation completed for individuals identified on a Level I Screen as having a suspected serious mental health (MI) or developmental disability (DD), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1396r and 42 C.F.R. § 483.100 *et seq.*
- 2. DMH and its Director (collectively "DMH") will make the following changes in the PASRR/MI process: (a) DMH will revise the PASRR/MI Level II Evaluation Form and require its PASRR/MI Level II evaluators to use the revised form in conducting PASRR/MI Level II Evaluations; (b) DMH will revise the DMH Contractor Manual; and (c) DMH will provide training on the revised PASRR/MI Level II Evaluation process to persons conducting PASRR/MI Level II Evaluations.
- 3. DMH will amend the DMH Contractor's Manual to reflect the revisions stated in Exhibit A. DMH will complete these revisions to the Contractor's Manual, and provide a copy of the revised manual to plaintiffs counsel, by December 1, 2003.
- 4. The parties agree that by October 1, 2004, DMH will adopt the PASRR/MI Level II Evaluation Form, attached as Exhibit B, for the performance of PASRR/MI Level II Evaluations, and will require persons performing PASRR/MI Level II Evaluations to begin using the attached form and revised Contractor's Manual by October 1, 2004.
- 5. State Defendants shall have the flexibility to modify or update the PASRR/MI Level II Evaluation Form and DMH Contractor's Manual consistent with the intent and purpose of this Settlement Agreement. For a period of two years after implementation of the revised PASRR/MI Level II Evaluation Form, State Defendants or their counsel shall notify Plaintiffs' counsel of any proposed changes, modifications and/or updates to the PASRR/MI Level II Evaluation Form or Contractor's Manual 45 days prior to finalizing these changes, and provide copies of the changes, and a statement of the reasons for any changes. Copies of any revisions to either the Form or the Contractors' Manual shall be provided to Plaintiffs' counsel within two weeks after they are made.
 - 6. DMH will provide training to PASRR/MI Level II evaluators on the revised

(a)

with a copy of the final version of all training materials, including the clinical case examples, the videotape of the one-day training session, and a description of the training that includes: the date of the training, the number of individuals trained, the name and address of the contractor receiving the training, and the names and business addresses of the trainers.

- 7. By October 1, 2004, State Defendants will provide counsel for Plaintiffs with a written report certifying that each of the following has been completed and briefly summarizing the activities completed or steps taken to accomplish each:
 - (a) The Contractors' Manual described in paragraph 3 has been distributed and is being implemented;
 - (b) The Level II form described in paragraph 4 has been implemented and is being used by evaluators; and,
 - (c) The training described in paragraph 6 has been conducted.
 - 8. DMH will provide Plaintiffs' counsel with a monthly data report as follows:
 - For 12 consecutive months, beginning with the one-month period following the date that PASRR/MI Level II evaluators first complete PASRR/MI Level II Evaluations, using the revised form attached as Exhibit B, DMH will provide to counsel for Plaintiffs a monthly data report showing for each Medi-Cal eligible individual applying to or residing at LHH (identified by DMH identification number) listing in chronological order, sorted by the date the PASRR Level I form was received by DMH; the date the PASRR/MI Level II Evaluation was completed; and the date the DMH determination was made. For each of the 12 monthly reports, DMH will give counsel for Plaintiffs printed copies of the Level II Evaluations for individuals in the first, third, fifth, seventh, and ninth positions on each of twelve monthly lists, to total five per month. If the total number of individuals at LHH who participate in the PASRR/MI Level II evaluation in any monthly reporting period is less than nine, DMH will provide the list to Plaintiffs' counsel, and copies of the PASRR/MI Level II evaluations for the

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	,

individuals in the same positions (first, third, fifth, etcetera) will be provided to the extent possible (e.g., five on the list would result in three Level IIs). However, in the event that the total number of PASRR/MI Level II evaluations forwarded using the methodology described above does not total 60 in the 12 consecutive months period, DMH will continue to use the methodology for such time necessary until a total of 60 evaluations have been forwarded. The individuals' names, Medi-Cal numbers, and social security numbers will be redacted from the Level II Evaluation, as will be the names of the evaluator and any other clinical staff of the Level II contractor.

- (b) For a period of one year beginning on the date that DMH first sends a PASRR/MI Level II Determination Letter based on evaluations made using the revised PASRR/MI Level II Evaluation form attached as Exhibit B, DMH will provide Plaintiffs' counsel, on a monthly basis, with the Determination Letters for all Medi-Cal eligible applicants to or residents at LHH. DMH will redact the individuals' names and Medi-Cal numbers from the Determination Letters provided to Plaintiffs' counsel hereunder.
- (c) For a period of one year beginning on the first full month after PASRR/MI Level II evaluators first use the revised PASRR/MI Level II Evaluation form attached as Exhibit B, DMH will provide to counsel for Plaintiffs copies of all monthly, quarterly and annual PASRR/MI performance summaries sent by the independent contractor to DMH. DMH will copy and send the reports to counsel for Plaintiffs within one week of receiving them from the contractor.
- 9. The Settlement Agreement is the product of negotiation and bargaining. Plaintiffs and State Defendants have made concessions and obtained favorable outcomes that might not have been required or obtained if this case had been decided by the Court. Neither this Settlement Agreement, nor any provision hereof, shall constitute an admission by any party, nor shall this

27

28

Case No.

C00-2532 SBA

Agreement, nor any provision hereof, be admissible in any subsequent legal or administrative proceeding initiated by any person or entity, whether or not a party to this Agreement, to establish or evidence liability on the part of State Defendants, except as may be relevant to enforcement of the terms hereof in a proceeding between the parties hereto.

- 10. All parties agree to bear their own fees and costs herein, including but not limited to, attorneys' and expert witness fees and costs. It is further agreed and understood that upon commencement of any subsequent action based upon or including any dismissed claims, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(d) shall not serve as a basis for Plaintiffs to be liable to Defendants for any costs. Nothing in this Agreement precludes Plaintiffs from seeking an award of attorneys' fees and costs for time expended and expenses incurred related to any proceedings undertaken to enforce the terms of this Agreement.
- 11. This Settlement Agreement is made by reference to 42 U.S.C. § 1396r, 42 U.S.C. § 12132, 29 U.S.C. § 794 and the implementing regulations. If these statutes and regulations or other applicable authorities are modified or repealed, nothing in this Agreement is intended to or should be construed to require State Defendants to comply with statutory or regulatory obligations that no longer exist, and the parties agree that this Agreement will not provide an independent basis to enforce any such obligations.
- 12. The parties have entered into this Agreement to resolve with finality all pending claims between them related to the PASRR/MI evaluation process and to avoid the time and expense of litigation. Plaintiffs retain the right to re-file claims One, Two, Four, Five, Seven, Eight and Nine of the Third Amended Complaint against State Defendants. Plaintiffs retain the right to re-file claims Three, Six, and Ten of the Third Amended Complaint against State Defendants if State Defendants are unable or otherwise fail to fully implement paragraphs 3, 4, and 6 of the Agreement in a timely manner in accord with the Agreement. The parties agree that this Agreement is the product of mutual negotiation and preparation, and accordingly, shall not be deemed to have been prepared or drafted by either party. The parties further agree that any court seeking to interpret it should construe the Settlement Agreement as the product of mutual negotiation and preparation.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27 28

- 13. If one party believes that the other party has failed to comply with any term of this Agreement, that party shall notify the other party's counsel in writing. The notice shall specify the term(s) of the Settlement Agreement with which the other party allegedly has failed to comply and the reason(s) for the allegation. Such notification shall propose dates for a meet and confer session. Within 20 days from receipt of the notice, opposing counsel shall provide counsel for the party alleging noncompliance with a written response. The response shall specify whether the party agrees or disagrees with the allegation, the basis for agreement or disagreement and, when appropriate, the steps the party proposes to take to remedy the alleged noncompliance or violation. Within 15 days following receipt of the response, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss resolution of the alleged noncompliance. The parties shall engage in good faith in this meet and confer process prior to seeking judicial relief. No effort by a party to resolve a dispute informally shall be construed to limit the defenses or the relief available to that party in any subsequent court proceedings.
- 14. The terms set forth herein are not subject to modification except by a writing signed by all parties or the parties' counsel of record.
- 15. If this Settlement Agreement is disapproved by the Court or the Court fails to enter specified dismissals, orders or judgments, then this Settlement Agreement is terminated and shall have no further force and effect, and it and all negotiations and proceedings connected therewith shall be without prejudice to the rights of any party and shall not be used in any subsequent proceeding in any of these actions or in any other action or proceeding.
- 16. The parties enter into this Settlement Agreement freely and voluntarily, having consulted and been advised by counsel. The undersigned counsel of record for the parties have full authority to execute this Settlement Agreement on the parties' behalf.
 - 17. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts.
- 18. This action shall be dismissed, and the parties shall seek to include the following terms related to this Settlement Agreement in an order of the Court, which order shall also include terms related to the Settlement Agreement in this action between Plaintiffs and the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

1	(a)	Plaintiffs will dismiss without prejudice all claims in the Third Amended
2		Complaint as to all State Defendants upon court approval of this Agreement.
3		Within 10 court days of receiving from State Defendants the written
4		notification described in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, Plaintiffs will either
5		dismiss with prejudice claims Three, Six and Ten as to all State Defendants
6		or will notify counsel for State Defendants that Plaintiffs believe State
7		Defendants have not adequately complied with the requirements of the
8		Settlement Agreement and intend to pursue enforcement proceedings. If
9		enforcement proceedings are pursued, Plaintiffs will dismiss with prejudice
10		claims Three, Six and Ten of the Third Amended Complaint within 10 court
11		days after State Defendants either are found by the Court to have fully
12		implemented paragraphs 3, 4, and 6 of the Agreement or have taken the
13		steps determined to be necessary to implement paragraphs 3, 4, and 6 of the
14		Agreement.
15	(b)	Upon dismissal of the action as provided in paragraph 18(a), the allegations
16		in claims Three, Six and Ten of the Third Amended Complaint and the
17		prayer for declaratory and injunctive relief shall be fully compromised and
18		settled pursuant to this Settlement Agreement and final judgment as against
19		State Defendants.
20	19. Al	l notifications required in this Settlement Agreement shall be sent by facsimile
21	and First Class m	ail as specified in subparagraphs 19(a) and (b) and shall be deemed effective on
22	the first business	day after they are sent by facsimile.
23	(a)	Notifications to counsel for Plaintiffs shall be addressed to:
24		Kim Swain
25		Michael Stortz Protection & Advocacy, Inc.
26		433 Hegenberger Road, Suite 220 Oakland, CA 94621
27		Facsimile: (510) 430-8246
28	(b)	Notifications to counsel for State Defendants shall be addressed to:
		<u> </u>

27

28

Beverley R. Meyers Deputy Attorney General State of California 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102

Facsimile: (415) 703-5480

Tracy L. Salisbury Shartsis, Friese & Ginsburg LLP One Maritime Plaza, 18th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

Facsimile: (415) 421-2922

- 20. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by its text only. The headings at the beginning of each section shall have no force or effect.
- 21. State Defendants shall make good faith efforts to adhere to the time frames in this Settlement Agreement. If at any time State Defendants believe it will not be possible to meet a time frame, State Defendants' counsel shall immediately notify Plaintiffs' counsel in writing, specifying the reasons they are unable to meet the time frame and their proposed new time frame for performance. Time frames which cannot be met due to circumstances beyond the reasonable control of the State Defendants shall be adjusted accordingly to reflect the next date upon which compliance can be expected. If the new time frame is acceptable to Plaintiffs, it shall become the new time frame for performance without formal modification of the judgment, and shall be deemed a modification of this Settlement Agreement upon written confirmation signed by counsel for each party. If the new time frame and/or date is unacceptable to Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs and State Defendants will meet and confer pursuant to paragraph 13.
- 22. The timing of any applications to the Court, notice to the class, and fairness hearing pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall be coordinated with the corresponding proceedings pursuant to the separate settlement agreement between Plaintiffs and Defendants City and County of San Francisco in this action.
- 23. The parties agree to file a joint application with the Court, and to take all other steps necessary, to request a fairness hearing and to seek the Court's preliminary approval of this Settlement Agreement pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- 24. If the Court withholds its approval of this Settlement Agreement for any reason, the parties shall meet and confer to determine whether the Settlement Agreement can be amended or

9

7

10

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

24

23

25

26 27

28

Case No. C00-2532 SBA

modified in a manner so as to secure the Court's approval, failing which, the Agreement shall be null and void and cannot be referred to or relied upon as a settlement of any party's obligations or rights or as a measure of any duty.

- 25. Notice of the proposed settlement pursuant to this Settlement Agreement is attached as Exhibit 3 to Swain Declaration. The parties will request that the Court direct that such notice be provided to the class as set forth in paragraph 11.1 of the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiffs and Defendant City and County of San Francisco, attached to Swain Declaration as Exhibit 1. If the Court rules that notice to the class is not required, the parties will proceed with notification of class members, as feasible, in conjunction with the class notice distributed pursuant to any agreement between Plaintiffs and Defendants City and County of San Francisco, as set forth in paragraph 11.2 of the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiffs and Defendant San Francisco, attached to Swain Declaration as Exhibit 1.
- 26. The Court's Order approving this stipulated Settlement Agreement shall continue for a period of 36 months from the date of entry of Judgment. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action for the duration of the Order and for such time thereafter as is necessary to effectuate the purposes of this Settlement Agreement. If the Court declines to accept continuing jurisdiction, the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement shall nevertheless be fully binding upon the parties as an agreement in settlement of the litigation.

IV.

FUNDING TO IMPLEMENT THE SETTLEMENT

27. State Defendants' obligation to perform this Settlement Agreement is contingent upon the availability of sufficient funds to implement the PASRR/MI evaluation process provided for herein. For that purpose, State Defendants will use their best efforts, including efforts made through the Budget Act to obtain the funding necessary to implement this Settlement Agreement. Such efforts may include representations that support the following principles: (1) the goal that people with disabilities live in the most integrated setting appropriate to individual need and (2) a philosophy for individualized assessment based on the concept of recovery and psycho-social rehabilitation, including client directed assessment and services planning, strengths-based clinical

1	assessment, the weitness approach to services, and functional assessment of skins. Plaintins accept
2	State Defendants' representations that they will use their best efforts to secure funding.
3	Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph 18(a) above, Plaintiffs agree that should funding not
4	be obtained, Plaintiffs may not seek enforcement of the Settlement Agreement on that ground, but
5	rather, agree that their only recourse is the re-filing of the complaint in accordance with paragraphs
6	12 and 28. Although Plaintiffs do not agree that these matters are protected by the deliberative
7	process privilege, for purposes of entering into this settlement, Plaintiffs accept State Defendants'
8	assertion of privilege here.
9	28. If State Defendants notify counsel for Plaintiffs in writing that they are unable to
10	perform the Agreement due to the unavailability of sufficient funds and Plaintiffs re-file claims
11	within one year of such notification, State Defendants expressly agree to waive the following
12	procedural defenses based on the passage of time between the dismissal of this action without
13	prejudice and the time that those claims are re-filed, which are defenses based on statutes of
14	//
15	//
16	//
17	//
18	//
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	limitations, laches, delay in prosecution, claim preclusion, or issue preclusion, and all objections to
2	certification of a class as defined in Section I.
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
5 6 7 8 9	DATED: KIM SWAIN Protection & Advocacy, Inc. Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS
10 11 12	BILL LOCKYER Attorney General of the State of California DOUGLAS M. PRESS Supervising Deputy Attorney General
13141516	DATED:
17 18 19 20 21	DATED:
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	[Plaintiffs' signatures on following pages] F:\DOCS\LHH\SETTLEMENT\State Settlement Agreement - FINAL 10-3-03.doc 2/2/2004 3:09 PM
	- 13 - Case No. SETTI EMENT A CREEMENT RETWEEN DI A INTIEES