



**LEGISLATION AND  
COMMUNICATIONS UNIT**

1831 K Street  
Sacramento, CA 95811-4114  
Tel: (916) 504-5800  
TTY: (800) 719-5798  
Intake Line: (800) 776-5746  
Fax: (916) 504-5807  
[www.disabilityrightsca.org](http://www.disabilityrightsca.org)

June 10, 2019

Honorable Hannah-Beth Jackson  
Chair, Judiciary Committee  
California State Senate  
Capitol Building, Room 2032  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**RE: AB 1286 (Muratsuchi) as amended June 6, 2019 – SUPPORT**

Dear Senator Jackson:

Disability Rights California (DRC), a non-profit advocacy organization that advances and protects the rights of Californians with disabilities, **supports AB 1286**. This bill is scheduled for hearing in the Senate Judiciary Committee on June 18, 2019.

This bill would require a shared mobility service provider, which includes providers of electronically motorized board, motorized scooter, electric bicycle, bicycle, motorized skateboard, or other personal transportation device, to enter into an agreement with, or obtain a permit from, the city or county with jurisdiction over the area of use. It also requires that the provider maintain a minimum of \$1 million per occurrence and \$5 million aggregate in insurance coverage and prohibits waiver of liability releases. This bill would require a city or county to adopt operation, parking, and maintenance rules regarding the use of the shared mobility devices in its jurisdiction before the shared mobility service provider may offer shared mobility devices for rent or use.

The advent of motorized scooters and shared scooters and scooter shared-operators using public rights of way have created a virtual obstacle course of danger and threats for persons with disabilities. Scooters block full

access and use of sidewalks, crosswalks, curb ramps, transit stops, pedestrian crossings and other walkways. The capacity for high rates of speed make them particularly dangerous. Left unregulated, scooter share operators enable and recklessly allow scooter customers to operate scooters at speeds much faster than the speed of foot traffic through the system of sidewalks, crosswalks, curb ramps, transit stops, pedestrian crosswalks and other walkways. In many places, they have turned systems of sidewalks into scooter highways.

In addition to their operation, scooters are just left behind after the customer's operation anywhere the user may see fit to leave them. The idle scooters end upon public property on sidewalks and rights of way on the ground, parked upright, or left resting sideways blocking pedestrian use. Thus, the idle scooters pose as much danger to pedestrians, and particularly those with disabilities, as they do in operation.

Persons with disabilities, in the unregulated scooter market, are hindered and inhibited from using the sidewalks and other public rights of way because they have become so dangerous and inaccessible. Those with mobility or visual impairments must roll the dice every time they choose to use the system of sidewalks and other pedestrian rights of way, as they gamble as to whether the system of sidewalks and other walkways might be unfettered or instead be blocked by encountering Scooters strewn along their path. The burgeoning proliferation and uncurbed growth of the scooter market comes at the detriment of the rights of all persons with disabilities who have mobility and/or visual impairments by diminishing their comfort and discriminating against them based on their disabilities by denying them access to and safe use of public walkways and other essential public services.

DRC filed a lawsuit on January 9, 2019 in U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California challenging the failure of the City of San Diego and private scooter companies to maintain the accessibility of the City's public sidewalks, curb ramps, crosswalks, and transit stops for people with disabilities, in the face of an onslaught of unregulated dockless scooters. [\*Montoya et al v. Bird Rides Inc. et al.\*](#) 3:19-cv-00054-JM-BGS. Defendants have been allowed to appropriate the public commons for their own profit, regardless of the impact on people with disabilities who live and/or visit the City. Persons with mobility impairments, including people who use wheelchairs or walkers, and people with significant visual

impairments are being denied their right to travel freely and safely on our public walkways in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, and state anti-discrimination laws.

DRC supports the regulation of scooter share operators and scooter share customers. The lack of regulation leaves the public, and particularly persons with disabilities, subject to the unfettered dangers of scooters on the public rights of way. The operators are well aware of the market created by the lack of regulation. For example, Bird Scooters rents electric scooters to its customers through a mobile application. Bird's CEO, stated recently that "[w]e don't go to New York because it's technically illegal to use a scooter at the state level" and that "[w]here there's no laws, that's where we go in." The Places Where There Are No Laws, That's Where We Go In, Fortune, at <http://fortune.com/2018/10/09/bird-ceo-scooters-laws/>.

Federal law, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 12131, et seq., Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. 12182, et seq., the Rehabilitation Act and their accompanying regulations, and analogous state law, including Government Code Sections 11135 and 4450 and Civil Code Sections 51 and 54 require the protections that should be afforded by the requirements of this bill. These provisions ensure that persons with disabilities have access to or full enjoyment of the system of sidewalks, crosswalks, transit stops, curb ramps, pedestrian crossings and other walkways because of their disabilities.

For these reasons, DRC supports this bill. Please contact me if you have any questions about our position or if I can provide any further information.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Curtis Child". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Curtis" and last name "Child" clearly distinguishable.

Curtis Child  
Legislative Director  
Disability Rights California

cc: Honorable Members, Senate Judiciary Committee  
Margie Estrada Caniglia, Chief Counsel, Senate Judiciary Committee  
Alison Merrilees, Chief Counsel, Assembly Judiciary Committee

Honorable Al Muratsuchi, California State Assembly  
Arwen Chenery, Chief of Staff, Office of Assembly Member  
Muratsuchi  
Morgan Branch, Policy Consultant, Senate Republican Caucus  
Committee