# Case4:09-cv-03798-SBA Document480 Filed04/03/12 Page1 of 3

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California SUSAN M. CARSON		
Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
State Bar No. 135875 JOSHUA N. SONDHEIMER		
Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 152000		
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
Telephone: (415) 703-5580 Fax: (415) 703-5480		
E-mail: susan.carson@doj.ca.gov		
Attorneys for Defendants		
IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
OAKLAND DIVISION		
his guardian ad litem Rozene Dilworth; GILDA GARCIA; WENDY HELFRICH by	CT ACC ACTION	
her guardian ad litem Dennis Arnett; JESSIE JONES; RAIF NASSYROV by his guardian ad litem Sofiya Nasyrova; ALLIE JO WOODARD, by her guardian ad litem Linda Gaspard-Berry; individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  ORDER RE ADDENDUM TO  SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	
her guardian ad litem Dennis Arnett; JESSIE JONES; RAIF NASSYROV by his guardian ad litem Sofiya Nasyrova; ALLIE JO WOODARD, by her guardian ad litem Linda Gaspard-Berry; individually and on	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ADDENDUM TO	
her guardian ad litem Dennis Arnett; JESSIE JONES; RAIF NASSYROV by his guardian ad litem Sofiya Nasyrova; ALLIE JO WOODARD, by her guardian ad litem Linda Gaspard-Berry; individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ADDENDUM TO	
her guardian ad litem Dennis Arnett; JESSIE JONES; RAIF NASSYROV by his guardian ad litem Sofiya Nasyrova; ALLIE JO WOODARD, by her guardian ad litem Linda Gaspard-Berry; individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ADDENDUM TO	
her guardian ad litem Dennis Arnett; JESSIE JONES; RAIF NASSYROV by his guardian ad litem Sofiya Nasyrova; ALLIE JO WOODARD, by her guardian ad litem Linda Gaspard-Berry; individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  TOBY DOUGLAS, Director of the Department of Health Care Services, State of California, DEPARTMENT OF	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ADDENDUM TO	
her guardian ad litem Dennis Arnett; JESSIE JONES; RAIF NASSYROV by his guardian ad litem Sofiya Nasyrova; ALLIE JO WOODARD, by her guardian ad litem Linda Gaspard-Berry; individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  TOBY DOUGLAS, Director of the Department of Health Care Services, State of California, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ADDENDUM TO	
her guardian ad litem Dennis Arnett; JESSIE JONES; RAIF NASSYROV by his guardian ad litem Sofiya Nasyrova; ALLIE JO WOODARD, by her guardian ad litem Linda Gaspard-Berry; individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  TOBY DOUGLAS, Director of the Department of Health Care Services, State of California, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ADDENDUM TO	
her guardian ad litem Dennis Arnett; JESSIE JONES; RAIF NASSYROV by his guardian ad litem Sofiya Nasyrova; ALLIE JO WOODARD, by her guardian ad litem Linda Gaspard-Berry; individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  TOBY DOUGLAS, Director of the Department of Health Care Services, State of California, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ADDENDUM TO	
her guardian ad litem Dennis Arnett; JESSIE JONES; RAIF NASSYROV by his guardian ad litem Sofiya Nasyrova; ALLIE JO WOODARD, by her guardian ad litem Linda Gaspard-Berry; individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  TOBY DOUGLAS, Director of the Department of Health Care Services, State of California, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ADDENDUM TO	

## 

1 2 3 4 5 6	Elissa Gershon, State Bar No. 169741 elissa.gershon@disabilityrightsca.org Elizabeth Zirker, State Bar No. 233487 elizabeth.zirker@disabilityrightsca.org Kim Swain, State Bar No. 100340 kim.swain@disabilityrightsca.org DISABILITY RIGHTS CALIFORNIA 1330 Broadway, Suite 500 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: 510.267.1200 Facsimile: 510.267.1201 Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Anna Rich, State Bar No. 230195 arich@nsclc.org Kevin Prindiville, State Bar No. 235835 kprindiville@nsclc.org NATIONAL SENIOR CITIZENS LAW CENTER 1330 Broadway, Suite 525 Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: 510.663.1055 Facsimile: 510.663.1051
7 8 9 10 11	Eric Carlson, State Bar No. 141538 Ecarlson@nsclc.org NATIONAL SENIOR CITIZENS LAW CENTER 3435 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2860 Los Angeles, CA 90010 Telephone: 213.674.2813 Facsimile: 213.639.0934	Barbara Jones, State Bar No. 88448 bjones@aarp.org AARP FOUNDATION LITIGATION 200 So. Los Robles, Suite 400 Pasadena, California 91101 Telephone: 626.585.2628 Facsimile: 626.583.8538
<ul><li>12</li><li>13</li><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	Kenneth W. Zeller, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> kzeller@aarp.org Kelly Bagby, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> kbagby@aarp.org AARP FOUNDATION LITIGATION 601 E Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20049 Telephone: 202.434.2060 Facsimile: 202.434.6424	Sarah Somers, State Bar No. 170118 somers@healthlaw.org Martha Jane Perkins, State Bar No. 10478 perkins@healthlaw.org NATIONAL HEALTH LAW PROGRAM 101 East Weaver Street, Suite G-7 Carrboro, North Carolina 27510 Telephone: 919.968.6308 Facsimile: 919.968.8855
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Kenneth A. Kuwayti, State Bar No. 145384 Kkuwayti@mofo.com Benjamin A. Petersen, State Bar No. 267120 Bpetersen@mofo.com Morrison & Foerster LLP 755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1018 Telephone: 650.813.5600 Facsimile: 650.494.0792	
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>		

### Case4:09-cv-03798-SBA Document480 Filed04/03/12 Page3 of 3

1	In order to resolve all issues raised by plaintiffs' Motion for Enforcement of Stipulated			
2	Judgment and for Civil Contempt Sanctions [Docket No. 448], the parties hereby stipulate that			
3	Exhibit A attached hereto shall be an Addendum to the Settlement Agreement approved by this			
4	Court on January 25, 2012 [Docket No. No. 438-1].			
5	•	-		
6			Respectfully submitted,	
7			DISABILITY RIGHTS CALIFORNIA	
8			AARP FOUNDATION LITIGATION NATIONAL SENIOR CITIZENS LAW CENTER	
9			NATIONAL HEALTH LAW PROGRAM MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
10	Dated: April 3, 2012	By:		
11	Dated: 71pm 3, 2012	By.	/s/ Elissa Gershon Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
12			Attorneys for Flaminis	
13			KAMALA D. HARRIS	
14			Attorney General of California SUSAN M. CARSON	
15			Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
16	Dated: April 3, 2012	By:	/s/	
17	1 ,	J	/s/ Susan M. Carson Attorneys for Defendants	
18			Thomas for Berendants	
19	Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), I attest under penalty of			
20	perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of its			
21	signatories.			
22	Dated: April 3, 2012	By:	/s/ Susan M. Carson	
23			Susan W. Carson	
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
25		,		
26	Dated:			
27			HONORABLE SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
28			NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
20			3	

# **EXHIBIT A**

### Darling v. Douglas (C-09-03798-SBA) Settlement Addendum

 Pursuant to this Addendum among the parties to this action, plaintiffs' Motion for Enforcement of Stipulated Judgment and for Civil Contempt and Sanctions (ECF No. 448) (Motion), which was set to be heard on March 29, 2012, will be taken off calendar. This is an Addendum to the Settlement Agreement that was approved by this Court on January 25, 2012 (ECF No. 444).

#### 2. Presumptive Eligibility/Fair Hearings

- a. The parties agree that DHCS will not be required to conduct further
   Presumptive Eligibility reviews.
- b. The parties agree that a denial of Presumptive Eligibility is not appealable at a fair hearing.
- c. The parties agree that Class Members who were determined ineligible for CBAS prior to April 1, 2012, who were not eligible to receive CBAS-pending, but who prevail at their respective fair hearings, will be deemed eligible for CBAS retroactive to the date of CBAS implementation, April 1, 2012.
- d. The Department of Health Care Services will coordinate with Disability Rights California and the California Department of Social Services State Hearings Division to offer optional telephonic hearings for Class Members.

### 3. Eligibility Determination/QA Review and Process

a. A workgroup of DHCS and ADHC representatives will convene the week of April 2, 2012 (or shortly thereafter, if the work group members are unavailable to meet or absent that week), to reach agreement on the standards of the Eligibility Determination/Quality Assurance (QA) review and process.

- b. The QA review and process will be conducted for no more than 315 eligibility determinations from the 13 ADHC centers specified below. These determinations comprise the complete list of determinations statewide that will be reviewed by this QA review and process. No determinations from any other center regarding any other ADHC or CBAS participant will be subject to this or similar review. The 13 ADHC centers involved in this QA review and process are limited to the following:
  - i. Hong Fook (2 centers)
  - ii. Golden Castle
  - iii. Stepping Stones (4 centers)
  - iv. Lifelong Oakland
  - v. Self Help for the Elderly
  - vi. Bayview Hunter's Point
  - vii. Senior Focus
  - viii. Bedford
  - ix. Mt. Diablo
- c. No member of the workgroup (as set forth in part 3.a., above) shall be affiliated with any of the ADHC centers listed in part 3.b, above, or their respective participants.
- d. If the workgroup is able to agree to the standards of review and process by April 13, 2012, Plaintiffs will notify the court that the motion will remain off calendar. It is the parties' intent that the review will begin no later than the week of April 16, 2012, and will be completed by April 30, 2012.
- e. Only if the workgroup is unable to agree on the standards and review process by April 13, 2012 (unless the workgroup is unable to convene due to absent or

unavailable members, at which time the deadline will become a date mutually agreeable to the parties), will Plaintiffs be permitted to proceed to have the motion heard on April 27, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. which the court reset as a holding date should the parties not be able to finalize this Addendum. The motion will be limited to the QA review and process issue only, as defined by Section 3 of this Addendum. No other matter raised in plaintiffs' motion (ECF No. 448) may be raised or pursued if this motion is reset.