1 2 3 4 5 6	LLOYD W. PELLMAN, County Counsel ADA GARDINER, Assistant County County CATHERINE J. PRATT, Senior Deputy Children's Services Division 201 Centre Plaza Drive, Suite 1 Monterey Park, CA 91754-2143 Telephone: (323) 526-6242 Facsimile: (323) 881-3791 Attorneys for Los Angeles County	insel County Counsel (132118)	
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	Katie A. et al.,	CASE NO. CV-02-05662 AHM (SHx)	
12	Plaintiffs,	NOTICE LODGING FULLY-	
13	v.	EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN	
14	Diana Bontá et al.,	PLAINTIFFS AND COUNTY DEFENDANTS	
15	Defendants.		
16		Room: 14	
17 18		The Hon. A. Howard Matz, District Court Judge	
19	To all parties and their attorneys of	record:	
20	Notice is hereby given that on Apri	1 18, 2003, counsel for the County	
21	Defendants lodged with Judge Matz, a copy of the fully-executed Settlement		
22	Agreement between Plaintiffs and County	Defendants. This Settlement Agreement	
23	has been executed in counterparts, as is provided in paragraph 35 of the Settlement		
24	Agreement. Because of this Court's April 8, 2003, Order relieving Youth Law		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	111		
	1		

1	Center as Counsel for Plaintiffs, Youth Law Center's approval of the Settlement			
2	Agreement is unnecessary.			
3				
4	DATED: April 18, 2003	LOYD W. PELLMAN		
5	Co	ounty Counsel DA GARDINER		
6	A. C.	ssistant County Counsel ATHERINE J. PRATT		
7		enior Deputy County Counsel		
8	B	CATHERINE J. PRATI		
9		Attorneys for County Defendants		
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs brought this lawsuit entitled *Katie A. et al. v. Diana Bontá et al.* (the "*Katie A.* Litigation"), filed July 18, 2002, case no. 02-05662, seeking certification of a class and declaratory and injunctive relief against Diana Bontá, Director of California Department of Health Services; Rita Saenz, Director of the California Department of Social Services, (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the State" or "State Defendants") and against Los Angeles County; Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services ("DCFS"); Anita Bock, Director of the Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the County" or "County Defendants") and Does 1 through 100, inclusive; and

WHEREAS, Marjorie Kelly is the successor-in-interest to Anita Bock as Director of DCFS and was substituted for her in her official capacity as a County Defendant herein by operation of law; and

WHEREAS, the County denies all wrongdoing alleged in this action and denies any liability whatsoever to Plaintiffs, and whereas the County asserts that it has meritorious defenses which it has asserted in this action, and asserts that it has entered into this Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") solely for the purpose of settling and compromising the claims of the Plaintiffs, in order to avoid the expense and diversion of its personnel caused by protracted litigation, and to terminate the claims asserted against the County; and

WHEREAS, the continued reform of the child welfare system and the best interests of the class will be substantially advanced by the settlement of the *Katie A*. litigation based on the novel and innovative resolution reflected in this Agreement, rather than by a trial on the merits;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants and undertakings set forth herein and intending to be legally bound thereby, it is stipulated and agreed by the Plaintiffs and the County, represented by their undersigned counsel, that all of Plaintiffs' claims for relief against the County which were asserted in the Complaint filed on July 18, 2002, or First Amended Complaint filed on December 23, 2003, including any claims against employees and officers of the County of Los Angeles, shall be resolved on the following terms as set forth in this Agreement and the accompanying Stipulated Order:

A. <u>Jurisdiction and Authority of the Court.</u>

- 1. The United States District Court has jurisdiction over the claims against all Defendants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343 and 1367. Venue is proper in the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
- 2. After notice of and an opportunity to comment on the Agreement has been provided to the Plaintiff class and others thereby affected, the Court shall determine whether to approve this Agreement and the accompanying Stipulated Order as being a fair, reasonable and adequate settlement of the *Katie A*. Litigation. Except as otherwise noted, the terms of this Agreement and the accompanying Stipulated Order shall not take effect until the Court issues its order approving this Agreement.
- 3. This case shall be certified as a class action for purposes of all causes of action in Plaintiffs' Complaint against the County Defendants on behalf of a class of children and young adults who:
 - (a) are in the custody of DCFS, or have been referred to or are subject to referral to DCFS;

- (b) have a behavioral, emotional, or psychiatric impairment; and
- (c) need individualized mental health services, including but not limited to professionally acceptable assessments, behavioral support and case management services, family support, crisis support, therapeutic foster care, and other medically necessary services in the home or in a home-like setting, to treat or ameliorate their disabilities or impairments.

Members of the class include children and young adults living with their parents or relatives or in any of a variety of placements, such as MacLaren Children Center, group homes or foster homes.

- 4. This Agreement settles all claims against the County Defendants in this lawsuit.
- 5. This is a binding and enforceable Agreement. It is not a Consent Decree.

B. <u>Objectives</u>.

- 6. The objectives of this Agreement are that the members of the class shall:
 - (a) promptly receive necessary, individualized mental health services in their own home, a family setting or the most homelike setting appropriate to their needs;
 - (b) receive the care and services needed to prevent removal from their families or dependency or, when removal cannot be avoided, to facilitate reunification, and to meet their needs for safety, permanence, and stability;
 - (c) be afforded stability in their placements, whenever possible, since multiple placements are harmful to children and are disruptive of family contact, mental health treatment and the provision of other services; and

- (d) receive care and services consistent with good child welfare and mental health practice and the requirements of federal and state law.
- 7. To fulfill the above objectives, the County Defendants agree, inter alia, to:
 - (a) immediately address the service and permanence needs of the five named Plaintiffs;
 - (b) improve the consistency of DCFS' decision making through the implementation of Structured Decision Making;
 - (c) expand Wraparound Services;
 - (d) implement Team Decision Making at significant decision points for a child and his/her family;
 - (e) expand the use of Family Group Decision Making;
 - ensure that the needs of members of the class for mental health services are identified and that such services are provided to them;
 - enhance permanency planning, increase placement stability and provide more individualized, community-based emergency and other foster care services to foster children, thereby reducing dependence on MacLaren Children's Center (MCC). The County further agrees to surrender its license for MCC and to not operate MCC for the residential care of children and youth under the age of 18 (e.g., as a transitional shelter care facility as defined by Health & Saf. Code, § 1502.3). The net County cost which is currently appropriated to support MCC shall continue to be appropriated to the DCFS budget in order to implement all of the plans listed in this Paragraph 7.

C. State Support.

- 8. The County has tried to secure from the State Defendants the support and funding necessary to implement the plans set forth in Paragraph 7, above and will continue to do so. Plaintiffs, through their counsel of record agree to assist the County in obtaining the programming and financial support needed from the State Defendants and/or other state agencies to fulfill these objectives.
- 9. The County will implement the plans described in Paragraph 7 above to the fullest extent possible under current federal and state law and regulations and in light of juvenile dependency court orders. The County will give notice to Plaintiffs' counsel of any changes in laws or regulations that it believes affects its ability to implement the plans adopted pursuant to its obligations under Paragraph 7. If there is a reduction in the federal and state funds that the County receives for members of the class (e.g., child welfare funds or Medicaid funds), and this reduction in funds materially impairs the County's ability to implement any plan or plans adopted pursuant to Paragraph 7 of this Agreement, then the County may develop an alternative plan or plans to take account of this reduction in federal and/or state funds. The County shall not implement any alternative plan until the Advisory Panel has been given 30 days to provide comment and analysis and Plaintiffs' counsel has been given an additional 15 days thereafter to provide their comment and analysis. Any disputes over these alternative plans shall be resolved pursuant to the procedures described in Paragraph 24 below. In the event of any reduction in State funds, the County and Plaintiffs agree to seek from the State by all necessary means, including processes of this case, resources commensurate with the State's legal obligations towards members of the class. Any alternative plan implemented by the County pursuant to this Paragraph shall not be considered as

CHSV.82914.1 Page 5

mitigating the County's other obligations under this Agreement (e.g., the objectives of Paragraph 6 or the conditions for dismissing the *Katie A*. Lawsuit under Paragraph 19).

D. Advisory Panel.

- 10. An Advisory Panel of experts in the child welfare field ("the Advisory Panel") has been selected and approved by the parties to monitor the County Defendants and undertake the various tasks specified in this Agreement. The Advisory Panel consists of the following members: Paul Vincent, Joe Loftus, Marty Beyer, Richard Clarke, William Jones and _______. In the event that any of these individuals cannot continue to serve on this Advisory Panel for the full two year period or any extension thereof, then Plaintiffs and the County Defendants shall mutually agree upon whether to replace that member of the Advisory Panel, and, if so, who should be his/her replacement. If the Plaintiffs or the County Defendants cannot agree upon either or both of these issues, then the Court shall decide whether to replace that member of the Advisory Panel and, if so, shall appoint a qualified person to serve as a replacement.
- In the event that the Advisory Panel discovers state policies or funding mechanisms that impede the County's accomplishment of the goals of the Agreement, the Advisory Panel will identify those barriers and make recommendations for change.
- 12. The Advisory Panel shall have access to such information and documents in the County's possession as are reasonably necessary in the Advisory Panel's judgment to perform its responsibilities.
- 13. The Advisory Panel shall develop a process to conduct its affairs and to decide matters (e.g. whether to appoint a chairperson, whether to confer in person or by telephone, how often to confer as a group, whether to decide matters by consensus, majority rule or other means).

Advisory Panel members may communicate freely with each other, the parties or their counsel between meetings or conferences of the entire Advisory Panel. In consultation with the County and attorneys for the parties, the Advisory Panel shall develop procedures setting forth the nature and method of the Advisory Panel's communications with designated County representatives. Advisory Panel members shall act in a manner so as to minimize disruption to the routine operation of the County foster care system.

- 14. The County shall pay the reasonable costs of the services of members of the Advisory Panel according to the terms set forth in Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. The County shall assist with making available to the Advisory Panel the staff and secretarial personnel as needed to fulfill their responsibilities.
 - 15. The Advisory Panel shall:
 - (a) advise and assist the County in the development and implementation of the plans adopted pursuant to Paragraph 7;
 - (b) determine whether the County plans are reasonably calculated to ensure that the County meets the objectives set forth in Paragraph 6;
 - (c) determine whether the County has carried out the plans;
 - (d) monitor the County's implementation of these plans;
 - (e) determine whether the County has met the objectives set forth in Paragraph6, and implemented the plans set forth in Paragraph 7.
- 16. The Advisory Panel shall make regular written reports to the parties of its findings and recommendations. In 2003-2004, these reports will be prepared quarterly. Following that, the

reports will be prepared at the discretion of the Advisory Panel provided that the Advisory Panel provides reports at least twice a year.

17. Thirty days prior to finalizing reports, the Advisory Panel shall provide a draft of the report to the respective parties to this Agreement. If requested by either the County Defendants or Plaintiffs, the Advisory Panel may, in its discretion, convene a meeting with the parties to this Agreement, as well as the appropriate DCFS and/or County officials, to give the parties an opportunity to discuss the Advisory Panel's tentative findings and conclusions. Following such meeting, the Advisory Panel may, at its discretion, revise its report.

The Advisory Panel (or the Plaintiffs and County Defendants jointly) shall file the final reports with the Court and provide copies to each party to the lawsuit. Any party to this Agreement may prepare and file with the Court a response to the Advisory Panel's report. A copy of any responsive reports filed by the parties to this Agreement must be served upon counsel of record for all other parties to this lawsuit on the date of the filing of the responsive report with the Court.

18. The parties acknowledge that a purpose of this Agreement is to avoid further litigation and disputes between the parties and to further improvements in child welfare in Los Angeles County. Nothing in this Agreement creates any rights for either party to utilize the Advisory Panel as, in effect, arbitrators or administrative law judges with respect to any disputes they may have with each other.

E. <u>Duration of this Agreement</u>.

19. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over the claims against the County Defendants in the instant lawsuit until such time as the Court finds that the County Defendants have fulfilled the objectives in Paragraph 6 and have met their obligations under Paragraph 7, and are likely to

CHSV.82914.1 Page 8

continue to do so for the following twelve months. For the purposes of this Agreement, the phrase "and are likely to do so for the following twelve months" means that the County Defendants have systems in place that will continue to fulfill the objectives set forth in Paragraph 6 and meet the obligations set forth in Paragraph 7 for the upcoming twelve months.

Two years from the date the District Court approves this Agreement and enters the accompanying Stipulated Order, the Advisory Panel shall prepare a written report determining whether the County Defendants have fulfilled the objectives in Paragraph 6 and have met their obligations under Paragraph 7, and are likely to continue to do so for the following twelve months. The Advisory Panel's report shall also address whether there is a need for the Advisory Panel to continue to meet and, if so, set forth reasons therefor. The Court shall dismiss the complaint against the County Defendants and terminate its jurisdiction, and the Advisory Panel shall cease its operation if either:

- (a) the written report by the Advisory Panel concludes that the County Defendants have met the above-mentioned conditions and are likely to continue to do so for the following twelve months and the Court agrees with these conclusions; or
- (b) the Advisory Panel concludes that the County Defendants failed to meet these conditions, but the Court disagrees with the Advisory Panel and finds that the County Defendants have met the above-mentioned conditions and are likely to continue to do so for the following twelve months.

On the other hand, if the Advisory Panel concludes that the County Defendants have not complied with the above mentioned conditions and/or are not likely to continue to do so for the following twelve months and the Court agrees with these conclusions, or if the Court does not agree

CHSV.82914.1 Page 9

with the Advisory Panel's conclusions of satisfactory compliance with the above-mentioned conditions and/or the County Defendants' likely continued compliance with these conditions for the following twelve months, then the County Defendants shall confer with the Advisory Panel to develop a plan to address all areas of non-compliance and to set deadlines for obtaining such compliance ("Plan").

Once the Plan has been developed, has been reviewed by the Advisory Panel, and has been approved by the Court, then:

- (a) the parties shall meet and confer on whether the Advisory Panel should continue to operate and any disagreement between the parties about the continued operation of the Advisory Panel shall be resolved by the Court; and
- (b) the Plaintiffs may apply to the Court at that time for any other remedies to enforce the terms of this Agreement.

After implementing the Plan, the County Defendants may seek dismissal of the instant lawsuit by the Court on the basis that they have fulfilled the objectives in Paragraph 6 and met the obligations under Paragraph 7 and are likely to continue to do so for the following twelve months.

Nothing in this Agreement prevents the County Defendants or the Plaintiffs from disagreeing with the conclusions in any written report by the Advisory Panel as to whether:

- the County Defendants have fulfilled the objectives in Paragraph 6, have met their obligations under Paragraph 7, and are likely to continue to do for the following twelve months; and
- (b) any Plan advanced by the County addresses all areas of noncompliance.

F. Covenants Not to Sue.

20. Until such time as the instant lawsuit has been dismissed against the County Defendants, Plaintiffs agree that they will not bring new lawsuits or join in existing lawsuits for systemic declaratory or injunctive relief against the County Defendants, their officers and employees in this Court or any other Court based on claims that have been asserted in the instant lawsuit. Plaintiffs are, however, not precluded from any efforts to enforce the terms of this Agreement pursuant to other provisions of this Agreement. This Agreement is also not intended to preclude any damage claims by Plaintiffs. Nor does this Agreement preclude an individual Plaintiff from bringing an action at any time for equitable relief tailored solely to the specific circumstances of that individual Plaintiff.

For purposes of this Agreement, the "claims that have been asserted in the instant lawsuit" are claims pertaining to the denial of medically necessary, mental health, behavioral support, and case management services based upon the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic and Treatment Services (EPSDT) requirements of the Medicaid Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq., and its implementing regulations ,42 C.F.R. § 430 et seq., the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution, Art. VI, Cl. 2, Substantive Due Process under the 14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and Article I, Section 7(a) of the California Constitution, the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (and its implementing regulations, 28 C.F. R. § 35.130), the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S. C. § 701 et seq., and the parallel California statutes and regulations (Gov. Code, § 11135 et seq.; 22 C.C.R. § 98000 et seq.), that the County Defendants:

- have failed to promptly provide Plaintiff class members with individualized mental health services in their own home, a family setting or the most homelike setting appropriate to their needs;
- (b) have failed to appropriately assess the mental health needs of Plaintiff class members;
- have failed to provide the care and services needed to prevent removal of Plaintiff class members from their families or, when removal cannot be avoided, to facilitate reunification and meet their needs for safety, permanence and stability;
- (d) have failed to provide Plaintiff class members with the care and services consistent with good child welfare and mental health practice and the requirements of federal and state law;
- (e) have failed to provide Plaintiff class members with Wraparound, therapeutic foster care services and/or case management services as a Medicaid benefit;
- (f) have over-relied on restrictive, congregate, institutional placements, including locked psychiatric hospitals and "emergency shelters" such as MacLaren Children's Center;
- (g) have placed Plaintiff class members in multiple foster care placements which are harmful to children and are disruptive of family contact, mental health treatment and the provision of other services; and
- (h) have over-relied on the removal of Plaintiff class members from their families and placed them into foster care as opposed to providing necessary mental health services in the home, including individually tailored family preservation services where appropriate.

G. Nullification of the Agreement.

21. If, for any reason, the Court does not approve this Agreement as a fair, reasonable, and adequate settlement of the *Katie A*. Litigation as between the Plaintiffs and the County, or if an order approving this Agreement is not upheld on appeal, if any, this Agreement shall be null and void.

H. No Admission of Liability

- 22. The County expressly denies each and all of the claims and contentions alleged against it by the Plaintiffs in this action. This Agreement, anything contained herein, and any negotiations or proceedings hereunder shall not be construed as or deemed to be an admission, presumption, evidence of, or concession by the County of the truth of any fact alleged or the validity of any claim which has or could have been asserted in this action, or of the deficiency of any defense which has or could have been asserted in this action or of any wrongdoing or liability whatsoever.
- 23. This Agreement, the fact of its existence, and any term hereof shall not be construed as an admission by the County or used as evidence against the County in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding except as described below. Any reports, recommendations or findings by the Advisory Panel also shall not be construed as an admission by the County or used as evidence against the County in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding except as described below.

This Agreement, the fact of its existence, and any term hereof shall be admissible in evidence in any proceedings in the *Katie A*. Litigation. Any reports, recommendations or findings by the Advisory Panel also shall be admissible in any proceedings in the *Katie A*. Litigation and shall be considered prima facie evidence of the conclusions contained therein.

I. <u>Dispute Resolution Process</u>

24. Before filing any motion to enforce the terms of this Agreement, counsel for the moving party shall contact counsel for the opposing party to discuss thoroughly, preferably in person, the substance of the contemplated motion and any potential resolution. Any such motion shall not be filed until twenty (20) days after the parties have conferred to discuss the motion unless either party is threatened with irreparable harm, in which case the motion can be filed in a shorter period of time. In connection with any motion to enforce, the parties may present evidence in support of and/or in rebuttal to any reports, recommendations or findings by the Advisory Panel.

J. Attorneys' Fees.

- 25. The County Defendants shall pay \$525,000 to Plaintiffs' counsel for:
 - (a) the County Defendants' share of the attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred by Plaintiffs' counsel for all work up until the signing of this Agreement and its approval by the District Court; and
 - (b) subsequent work by Plaintiffs' counsel in monitoring and securing implementation by the County Defendants of the terms of this Agreement.

Within thirty days of the Court's approval of this Agreement, a warrant in the amount of \$525,000 made payable to the American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Southern California on behalf of all Plaintiffs' counsel shall be issued and delivered to Mark Rosenbaum at the address set forth in Paragraph 34.

After this Agreement has been approved by the Court, Plaintiffs' counsel shall additionally be entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses under federal and state law as ordered by the Court for all work incurred when Plaintiffs are the prevailing parties on:

- (a) any motion which Plaintiffs brought;
- (b) any motion which the County Defendants brought; and
- (c) any appeals of the instant case by either Plaintiffs or County Defendants.

Before Plaintiffs' counsel files any such motion for additional attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, Plaintiffs shall follow the procedures in Paragraph 24 above. The County Defendants reserve the right to oppose any request or motion for additional attorneys' fees.

K. Other Provisions.

- 26. The parties to this Agreement and their respective counsel generally agree to support the planning and decision-making processes of the intensive service programs that the County implements under the terms of this Agreement (e.g., Wraparound services), to the extent consistent with their obligations to the named Plaintiffs and other members of the class.
- 27. Nothing contained herein shall be deemed to constitute a custom, policy or practice of the County of Los Angeles.
- 28. This Agreement contains all the terms and conditions agreed upon by the parties hereto, and no oral agreement entered into at any time nor any written agreement entered into prior to the execution of this Agreement regarding the subject matter of this proceeding shall be deemed to exist, or to bind the parties hereto, or to vary the terms and conditions contained herein.
- 29. Both parties to this Agreement have participated in its drafting and, consequently, any ambiguity shall not be construed for or against either party.

- 30. Each of the undersigned attorneys represents that he or she has been duly authorized to enter into this Agreement.
 - 31. This Agreement may only be amended, modified, or supplemented by:
 - (a) an agreement in writing signed by both the County and the Plaintiffs' counsel and approved by the Court; or
 - (b) other order of the Court.
- 32. The parties recognize and acknowledge that this Agreement must be approved by the Court pursuant to paragraph 1 above. The parties agree to cooperate in good faith in the creation of all papers submitted to the Court to secure such approval.
- 33. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the legal representatives and any successor of Plaintiffs and the County.
- 34. Notice, when due to Plaintiffs or the County, shall be given by delivering it, in person or by United States certified first-class mail, to the parties' counsel in the litigation as follows:

To the Plaintiffs:

Mark D. Rosenbaum, Esq.

American Civil Liberties Union

of Southern California 1616 Beverly Boulevard

Los Angeles, California 90026-5752

To the County:

Catherine J. Pratt, Esq.

Office of the County Counsel 201 Centre Plaza Drive, Suite 1

Monterey Park, California 91754-2143

35. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which will be deemed to be an original and all of which taken together shall constitute a single instrument. This Agreement may be executed by signature via facsimile transmission which shall be deemed the same as an original signature.

Mark D. Rosenbaum Ben Wizner	
American Civil Liberties Union	Ira Burnim
of Southern California	Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	7 Money's for 1 families
by Marker , Rosenbau	by
Mark D. Rosenbaum	Ira Burnim
Lin Min Kong	
Laura Diamond	Ronald C. Peterson
Katrina McIntosh	Carlyle W. Hall
Center for Law in the Public Interest	Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
by Som his Col	by
Lin Min Kong	Ronald C. Peterson
Melinda Bird	Robert D. Newman
Marilyn Holle	Kimberly Lewis
Protection & Advocacy, Inc.	Western Center on Law & Poverty
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
-	
by	by lending the
Marilyn Holle	Robert D. Newman Kimberry LEWI
	Lloyd W. Pellman, County Counsel Ada
	Gardiner, Assistant County Counsel
Carol Shauffer	Catherine J. Pratt, Senior Deputy County
Alice Bussiere	Counsel
Youth Law Center	Office of the County Counsel
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	County Defendants
by	by GM 1 TM
Carol Shauffer	Catherine . Pratt
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT	
Miriam Krinsky, Executive Director	
Children's Law Center of Los Angeles	
by Miner Kingly	

Mirlam Krinsky

Mark D. Rosenbaum Ben Wizner	
American Civil Liberties Union	Ira Burnim
of Southern California	Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
by	by
Mark D. Rosenbaum	Ira Burnim
Lin Min Kong	
Laura Diamond	Ronald C. Peterson
Katrina McIntosh	Carlyle W. Hall
Center for Law in the Public Interest	Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
by	by
Lin Min Kong	Ronald C. Peterson
Melinda Bird	Robert D. Newman
Marilyn Holle	Kimberly Lewis
Protection & Advocacy, Inc.	Western Center on Law & Poverty
Attorneys for Plaintiffs /	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
by Maryn Holle	by
Marilyn Holle	Robert D. Newman
V	TI INT D II Compts Compat Ada
	Lloyd W. Pellman, County Counsel Ada
a 101 M	Gardiner, Assistant County Counsel
Carol Shauffer	Catherine J. Pratt, Senior Deputy County Counsel
Alice Bussiere	Office of the County Counsel
Youth Law Center	County Defendants
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
by	by
Carol Shauffer	Catherine J. Pratt
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT	
Miriam Krinsky, Executive Director	
Children's Law Center of Los Angeles	· ·
by	
Miriam Krinsky	

THU 10.00 FAA 202 223 0409

by _ Mark D. Rosenbaum

Lin Min Kong Laura Diamond Katrina McIntosh Center for Law in the Public Interest Attorneys for Plaintiffs

by

Lin Min Kong

Melinda Bird Marilyn Holle Protection & Advocacy, Inc. Attorneys for Plaintiffs

by

Marilyn Holle

Carol Shauffer Alice Bussiere Youth Law Center Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Carol Shauffer

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

Miriam Krinsky, Executive Director Children's Law Center of Los Angeles

Miriam Krinsky

Ira Burnim

Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law

ے ۱۱۱۷ ریون

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Ronald C. Peterson Carlyle W. Hall

Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

by

Ronald C. Peterson

Robert D. Newman Kimberly Lewis Western Center on Law & Poverty Attorneys for Plaintiffs

by

Robert D. Newman

Lloyd W. Pellman, County Counsel Ada Gardiner, Assistant County Counsel Catherine J. Pratt, Senior Deputy County Counsel Office of the County Counsel County Defendants

by

Catherine J. Pratt

be executed by signature via facsimile transmission which shall be deemed the same as an original signature.

Mark D. Rosenbaum	
Ben Wizner	
American Civil Liberties Union of Southern California	Ira Burnim
	Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
by	by
Mark D. Rosenbaum	Ira Burnim
Lin Min Kong	
Laura Diamond	Ronald C. Peterson
Katrina McIntosh	Carlyle W. Hall
Center for Law in the Public Interest	Heller Ehrman White & McAulije LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
by	by Grade C. C.
Lin Min Kong	Ronald C. Peterson
Melinda Bird	Robert D. Newman
Marilyn Holle	Kimberly Lewis
Protection & Advocacy, Inc.	Western Center on Law & Pover
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
by	by
Marilyn Holle	Robert D. Newman
	Lloyd W. Pellman, County Couns.: l Ada
Carol Shauffer	Gardiner, Assistant County Counsel
Alice Bussiere	Catherine J. Pratt, Senior Deputy County
Youth Law Center	Counsel
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Office of the County Counsel
	County Defendants
by	by
Carol Shauffer	Catherine I Pratt

(± 0) =000 ±0, ±0 ±1111

Attachment A Compensation of Members of Advisory Panel

The members of the Advisory Panel, as defined in Paragraph 10 of the Agreement, shall be compensated for their services by the County at no more than \$1,000 per full day of work fulfilling the obligations set forth in this Agreement provided that (a) the members are not otherwise being paid as part of their full-time employment; and (b) the members submit to the County bills reflecting the number of hours of work and a brief description of the work performed.

Further, the County will reimburse the members of the Advisory Panel for the reasonable expenses of travel (including airfare, hotel, food and ground transportation) at the rates provided for reimbursement of County employees as set forth in the attached Memorandum from the County Auditor-Controller dated January 30, 2003.

The County Defendants agree to pay the Advisory Panel not more than \$375,000, total, for their time and expenses incurred in fulfilling their duties under the Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement prevents the parties from attempting to obtain supplemental funding for the Advisory Panel from outside agencies (e.g., nonprofit foundations).



J. TYLER McCAULEY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 502 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 9(x)12-2766 PHONE: (213) 974-8402 FAX: (213) 628-8463

January 30, 2003

TO:

ALL ADMINISTRATIVE DEPUTIES

FROM:

Arlene Barrera, Chief A true Barrera

Disbursements Division

SUBJECT:

ADJUSTMENT OF TRAVEL EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENTS

EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 1, 2003

Section 5.40.095 of the County Code requires an annual adjustment of maximum travel, meal, lodging and incidental expense reimbursement rates based on annual changes in the National Consumer Price Index (CPI) published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. This section also stipulates that the annual percentage change in the CPI during the preceding calendar year must exceed 3% to cause a rate adjustment. If the percentage change is less than 3%, then the percentage change shall be accumulated with the percentage change in the following year(s) until such time the cumulative percentage change exceeds 3%. Adjustments are then made to reflect the total cumulative percentage change.

Since the percentage change in the CPI between December 2000 and December 2001 was published as 1.6%, there was no annual adjustment for 2002. The percentage change in the CPI between December 2001 and December 2002 was published as 2.4%. The total cumulative percentage change is 4.0%. Therefore, maximum reimbursable amounts for meals and lodging for travel occurring on or after February 1, 2003 and through January 31, 2004 are as follows:

Lodging: \$ 165.50 plus all taxes included on the voucher for a single occupancy hotel accommodation upon presentation of the voucher to the travel coordinator of your department. Reimbursement without a voucher will be \$ 20 per night.

\$ 10.00 breakfast, \$ 12.75 lunch and \$ 32.25 dinner, or not to exceed \$55.00 per day when three meals are purchased any one day.

January 30, 2003 Page 2

The allowance for incidental expense for travel to certain capital and primary cities is:

Sacramento

\$11.50 per day

Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Detroit, Houston, New York, Phoenix, Philadelphia, San Francisco, Seattle, Miami, and Washington D.C.

\$ 58.00 per day

Incidental expense is only **claimable to the extent incurred**, and not claimable for travel to any other city, unless approved by the Auditor-Controller or Chief Administrative Officer. Additionally, incidental expense can only be claimed if the business conducted required presence in these cities.

The daily amounts allowed for airport parking (receipt required) is:

Burbank	- \$	5.00
John Wayne	- \$	12.00
Los Angeles	- \$	10.00
Long Beach	- \$	6.00
Ontario	- \$	12.00

For additional information relating to specific rates for individual airport parking lots, please visit our Intranet site at http://auditorweb.co.la.ca.us, click on Countywide Travel, then click on Correspondence and then click on Airport Parking Summary Information.

Reimbursement for Porterage is \$1.00 per day.

New Mileage Rate

Effective July 1, 2002, the mileage reimbursement rate is \$0.33 per mile for all miles driven. This rate applies to all County employees, represented and non-represented, who drive their vehicles on County business.

If you have any questions, please contact Cheryl Ross at (213) 974-8441.

AB:to

c: Fiscal Officers
County Travel Coordinators
Connie Chung
Cheryl Ross

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, County of Los Angeles:

NANCY TSAI states: I am and at all times herein mentioned have been a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Los Angeles, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to nor interested in the within action; that my business address is 201 Centre Plaza Drive, Suite 1, City of Monterey Park, County of Los Angeles, State of California; that I am readily familiar with the business practice of the Los Angeles County Counsel for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; and that the correspondence would be deposited within the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.

That on the 18th day of April, 2003, I served the attached

NOTICE LODGING FULLY-EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN PLAINTIFFS AND COUNTY DEFENDANTS

upon Interested Party(ies) by depositing copies thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope and placed for collection and mailing on that date following ordinary business practices in the United States Postal Service, addressed as follows:

See Attached Service List

- (BY MAIL) I am "readily familiar" with this office's practice of collection and processing correspondence by mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- (FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the offices of a member of this court at whose direction the service was made

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 18th day of April, 2003, at Monterey Park, California.

NANCY TSAI

SERVICE LIST

Mark D. Rosenbaum, Esq. Ben Wizner, Esq. American Civil Liberties Union of Southern California 1616 Beverly Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90026-5752 (Attorneys for Plaintiffs)

Lin Min Kong, Esq. Laura Diamond Katrina McIntosh Center for Law in the Public Interest 3250 Ocean Park Boulevard Suite 300 Santa Monica, California 90405 (Attorneys for Plaintiffs)

Ronald C. Peterson, Esq. Carlyle W. Hall III, Esq. Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe, LLP 601 S. Figueroa Street, 40th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-5758 (Attorneys for Plaintiffs)

Sandra L. Goldsmith,
Deputy Attorney General
Cristina Felix-Carrasco,
Deputy Attorney General
300 South Spring Street, 9th Floor,
North Tower
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Melinda Bird, Esq. Marilyn Holle, Esq. Protection & Advocacy, Inc. 3580 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 902 Los Angeles, California 90010 (Attorneys for Plaintiffs)

Carol Shauffer, Esq. Alice Bussiere, Esq. Youth Law Center 417 Montgomery Street Suite 900 San Francisco, California 94104 (Attorneys for Plaintiffs)

Ira Burnim, Esq. Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law 1101 Fifteenth Street, NW Suite 1212 Washington, DC 20006 (Attorneys for Plaintiffs)

Robert D. Newman, Esq. Kimberly Lewis, Esq. Western Center for Law and Poverty 3701 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 208 Los Angeles, California 90010-2809 (Attorneys for Plaintiffs)